Impact of Guar Gum Derivatives on Properties of Freshly-Mixed Cement-Based Mortars

Alexandre Govin, Marie-Claude Bartholin, Barbara Biasotti, Max Giudici, Valentina Langella, Philippe Grosseau

Impact of Guar Gum Derivatives on Properties of Freshly-Mixed Cement-Based Mortars

Alexandre Govin[1], Marie-Claude Bartholin[1], Barbara Biasotti[2], Max Giudici[2], Valentina Langella[2], Philippe Grosseau[1]

[1] SPIN-EMSE, CNRS:UMR5307, LGF, École des Mines de Saint-Étienne, 42023 Saint-Etienne, France

[2] Lamberti SpA, 21041 Albizzate, Italy



Water Retention Agent
(polysaccharide)

Most widely used admixture: ***Cellulose Ethers***

~ **1/3 of** raw materials **cost** for only **0,5 wt%**

Desired Effect

Water Retention

= Capacity of fresh mortar to keep its mixing water



Polysaccharides are also expected to act as VEA

Major drawback: Cement hydration delay

Study of bio-based Water Retention and VEA admixture : Hydroxypropyl Guar (HPG)

Materials

HydroxyPropyl Guars



HPG preparation

HPG Studied

- A **native Guar Gum** (**GG**) + **3 HPGs** + **2 hydrophobically modified HPGs**
- Roughly the same molecular weight (≈ 2.10^6 Da)

Sample	MS_{HP}	Additional Substitution	DS_{AC}
HPG 1	Low	-	-
HPG 2	Medium	-	-
HPG 3	High	-	-
HPG 4	High	Short alkyl chain	
HPG 5	High	Short alkyl chain	Higher than HPG 4
GG	-	-	-

Mortar Formulation

Component	CEM II/B-LL 32.5R	Lime	$CaCO_3$	$CaMg(CO_3)_2$	Water
% mass of dry mixture	12 %	3 %	18 %	67 %	22 %

- Water-to-Binder ratio: **W/B = 0.22**
- Admixtures in addition to the binder: **0.05% – 0.15% bwob**

Adsorption

TOC - Centrifugation - Depletion method





- Low dissolution kinetics of GG
- ↗ MS_{HP}: ↘ Adsorption because of ↘ free -OH and polarity
- Hydrophobic alkyl chain: Low ↗ Adsorption
  - Change in conformation of HPG (Simon et al.)
  - Alkyl chains inside the coils / Hydrophilic groups at the outskirt of the coils

Water Retention

Standard ASTM C 1506-09:

$$WR(\%) = \frac{W_0 - W_1}{W_0} \times 100$$





- Excepted **GG**, **HPGs improve** the WR capacity of mortars
- ↗ MS_{HP} **improves** the WR capacity $MS_{HP\ HPG\ 1} < MS_{HP\ HPG\ 2} < MS_{HP\ HPG\ 3}$
  - **Thanks to ↘ Adsorption and thus ↗ [HPG] in pore solution**
- **Positive impact** of the additional **alkyl chain**
  - **↗ Adsorption compensated by ↘ in coil overlapping concentration**
- ↗ DS_{AC} slightly **reduces** the WR capacity $DS_{HP\ HPG\ 4} < DS_{HP\ HPG\ 5}$

Rheological properties of mortars

Herschel-Bulkley model: $\tau = \tau_0 + K\dot{\gamma}^n$

τ_0: yield stress
K: consistency coefficient
n: fluidity index



- ↗ τ_0 **with HPGs 1, 2, 3**
  - **Bridging flocculation**
- ↗ MS_{HP} ↘ adsorption ↘ **bridging compensated by ↗ η_0 and [HPG]**
- ↗ *K* **and** ↘ **n with HPGs 4, 5**
- **Rheological behavior of mortars imposed by the more and more shear thinning behavior of pore solution**

Conclusions

Water Retention

- HPGs are good water retention agents
- Huge impact of HPG chemical composition
  - → ↗ MS_{HP} promotes WR by ↗ [HPG]
  - → Hydrophobic side chain promotes WR by ↘ C*

Rheological properties

- HPGs act as VEA
- → "Classical" HPGs ↗ the stability of mortars by ↗ τ_0
  - → Hydrophobically modified HPGs ↗ the resistance to the flow of admixed mortars by ↗ K

Chemical composition of HPGs is a key parameter of mortar formulation