



**HAL**  
open science

# The Effects of Environmental Innovations on CO2 Emissions: Empirical Evidence from Europe

Michelle Mongo, Fateh Belaid, Boumediene Ramdani

► **To cite this version:**

Michelle Mongo, Fateh Belaid, Boumediene Ramdani. The Effects of Environmental Innovations on CO2 Emissions: Empirical Evidence from Europe. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 2021, 118, pp.1-9. 10.1016/j.envsci.2020.12.004 . emse-03060638

**HAL Id: emse-03060638**

**<https://hal-emse.ccsd.cnrs.fr/emse-03060638>**

Submitted on 3 Feb 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **The Effects of Environmental**  
2 **Innovations on CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: Empirical Evidence from Europe**

3

4 **Abstract**

5 Environmental innovations are key enablers of transition towards greener economies. Despite  
6 their importance, empirical studies examining the effect of green technologies on CO<sub>2</sub>  
7 emissions are still limited. Using an autoregressive distributed-lag model (ARDL), we analyze  
8 the impact of environmental innovations, the consumption of renewable energies, GDP per  
9 capita, and degree of economic openness on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for 15 European countries over 23  
10 years. Our results indicate that, in the long-term, environmental innovations tend to lower CO<sub>2</sub>  
11 emissions, whereas in the short-term the observed effect is the opposite, suggesting the  
12 existence of a rebound effect. This study recommends introducing new policies that combine  
13 tools of environmental economics with those of ecological economy to integrate economic  
14 incentives with regulatory changes and encourage individuals to consume differently by  
15 favouring products and/or services with a less negative impact on the environment.

16 **Keywords:** Environmental innovations; CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; Rebound effect; Europe; ARDL  
17 model.

18 **JEL Classification:** Q53; Q55

## 19 **1. Introduction**

20 The current trajectory of global economic development is not without consequence on our  
21 planet. Ecological deregulation, unlimited exploitation of natural resources, and growing  
22 inequalities are at the heart of contemporary problems. According to a recent report<sup>1</sup>,  
23 anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gas as a result of human activities are responsible for  
24 almost 95% of global warming. In the absence of a reinforcement of the international action in  
25 favor of the climate, the rise of the average global temperature could reach 2 degrees Celsius  
26 resulting in even more natural disasters (floods, droughts, degradation of the agricultural yields,  
27 accelerated melting mountain glaciers and polar ice caps, rising sea levels, etc.) and irreversible  
28 effects on ecosystems. The European Union (EU) is not immune to these effects and by ratifying  
29 the Paris Agreement, they committed themselves to 40% increase in their greenhouse gas  
30 emissions by 2030. More recently, the European Commission (EC) developed a plan to attain  
31 an economy that is a climate-neutral in 30 years (EC, 2018).

32 The stylized facts<sup>2</sup> show that in the EU<sup>3</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions tend to decrease (-26%) over the period  
33 1991-2014 while at the same time patent filings in ‘technologies related to the environment’  
34 have continued to grow. For example, over the period 1991-2014, patent filings in these  
35 technologies increased by 209%. The leaders in this field are Germany, France, and the United  
36 Kingdom (with 3707, 1430, and 988 patents respectively, filed in 2014). These patents focus  
37 on technologies for combating climate change related to transport and the production,  
38 transmission, and distribution of energy.

39 Experts and scholars admit that the transition to a green economy is critical and cannot be  
40 achieved without innovation (Aghion et al., 2009). In recent decades, there is remarkable  
41 agreement among experts and economists on the importance of green technological progress  
42 (or eco-innovation) as an effective instrument for achieving sustainability goals, improving  
43 energy efficiency, reduce the negative consequences of resources use, and decrease pollution  
44 and other environmental risks (Kemp and Pearson, 2007). Today, eco-innovation is considered  
45 a real strategic tool for firms, enabling them to monitor the impacts of their actions, and avoid  
46 reputational damage and associated costs. Given the importance of green innovation in shaping  
47 environmental sustainability, this study seeks to provide answers to two central questions. First,  
48 is there a causal relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions on the one hand and green technologies  
49 on the other. Second, if so, what is the nature of this impact?

50 Recent studies showcase the role of technological innovation in achieving environmental  
51 sustainability goals (Amri et al, 2018). Technological innovation makes a positive impact on  
52 the ecosystem due to using green energy and lowering fossil fuels’ consumption (Jordaan et al.,  
53 2017). Moreover, these technologies could help countries to improve the efficiency of their  
54 production processes (Gozgor, 2017). Also, there is an increase in the adoption of greener  
55 production methods and more sustainable and environmentally friendly products and services  
56 (Yu and Du, 2019).

57 Existing literature on energy and the environment has largely been dominated by analyzing the  
58 association between economic development, energy demand, and carbon emissions, with an  
59 underlying focus on testing the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) (Belaïd and Youssef,  
60 2017; Bélaïd and Abderrahmani, 2013; Grossman and Krueger, 1991). Further, existing works  
61 have also examined the link between economic growth, energy demand, and environmental

---

<sup>1</sup> IPCC. (2018). Global warming of 1.5 Degrees. Retrieved from  
[https://report.ipcc.ch/sr15/pdf/sr15\\_spm\\_final.pdf](https://report.ipcc.ch/sr15/pdf/sr15_spm_final.pdf)

<sup>2</sup> Source: OECD, author's calculation

<sup>3</sup> EU-15 in 2004

62 pollution (Belaïd and Zrelli, 2019; Belaïd and Youssef, 2017; Apergis and Payne, 2014).  
63 Recently, a new research stream has emerged that focuses on the role technological innovation  
64 in lowering CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Erdoğan et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2020; Chen and Lei, 2018).

65 To explore the claim that green innovation policies enhance environmental quality through  
66 stimulating renewable energy production, this study provides empirical evidence focusing on  
67 15 EU countries. Contributions of this study are at least twofold. First, new evidence is put  
68 forward on the role played by technological innovation to shape the carbon emissions trend in  
69 the case of EU countries. These countries offer an interesting case to study this claim for two  
70 reasons. The first reason is that these countries are net importers of fossil fuels and  
71 environmental quality is a major challenge for them. The second reason is that most of the EU  
72 countries have set ambitious targets for reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and investment in innovation  
73 is at the heart of European energy and environmental policies. Nonetheless, studies exploring  
74 the role of green innovations in shaping environmental quality are rather limited (Du et al.,  
75 2019). This study contributes to the ongoing debate on the drivers of environmental  
76 sustainability by exploring the effects of green innovations on improving the environmental  
77 quality in the EU countries.

78 In a recent study, [Töbelmann and Wendler \(2020\)](#) developed a [Generalized Method of Moments](#)  
79 [\(GMM\)](#) to explore the environmental innovation impact on carbon emissions in the EU-27  
80 countries during the period 1992-2014. Our study is different in several respects using a  
81 different empirical approach and focusing on 15 EU countries. While [Töbelmann and Wendler](#)  
82 [\(2020\)](#) use a GMM approach to examine mainly the long-run impact of innovation on carbon  
83 emissions, our study uses an ARDL model to explore both the short and the long-run impacts  
84 of environmental innovations, the consumption of renewable energies, GDP per capita, and  
85 degree of economic openness on the environmental quality. Compared to other methods of co-  
86 integration, ARDL has many advantages: (i) it provides valid results on whether the variables  
87 are I(0) or I(1) or mutually co-integrated and provides very consistent and efficient results in  
88 large or small samples; (ii) it allows for capturing the data generation process from a general  
89 modeling framework by including a sufficient number of lags; and (iii) it is most appropriate  
90 technique in the case of a small sample size, which is the case of our study ([Pesaran et al.,](#)  
91 [2001](#)). Furthermore, and in contrast to the [Töbelmann and Wendler \(2020\)](#) study, we chose to  
92 focus on a panel of 15 countries for two main reasons: (i) these 15 countries are the richest and  
93 invest the most in green innovations, and (ii) for the other EU countries, data on green  
94 innovations are generally missing, and even when they are found, they are of poor quality. Since  
95 studies on this subject are rare, our study provides new insights that enrich our knowledge on  
96 the impact of environmental innovation and inform policies on the role that innovation could  
97 play in reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

98 The rest of this study proceeds as follows. Section 2 is devoted to reviewing the literature on  
99 the drivers of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The following section presents the data and methodology. Section  
100 4 discusses the results and section 5 draws the conclusions and provides some policy  
101 implications.

102

## 103 **2. Key Determinants of Carbon Emissions**

104 Since the pioneering work of [Grossman and Krueger \(1991\)](#), who introduced EKC<sup>4</sup>, a growing  
105 body of work on the drivers of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions has developed in recent decades ([Lean and](#)  
106 [Smyth, 2010](#); [Yang et al., 2015](#); [Perman and Stern, 2003](#); [Stokey, 1998](#)). In this context, the

---

<sup>4</sup> An inverted U-shaped relationship has been suggested between the pollution indicators and income per capita.

107 degree of economic openness (Hu et al., 2018; Piaggio et al., 2017; Grossman and Krueger,  
108 1991), the rate of urbanization (Wang et al., 2019), the structure of the productive apparatus  
109 (Du et al., 2019), the level of wealth ( Esteve and Tamarit, 2012; Dinda and Coondoo, 2006;  
110 Kuznet, 1955), technological innovation (Yii and Geetha, 2017), and the energy structure  
111 (Cheng et al., 2018) are the main variables usually used to explain CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. More  
112 recently, the work of Zhang et al. (2016) recognizes the critical role of green technologies in  
113 reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, this research is still limited and far from reaching a  
114 consensus. Indeed, some studies (Acemoglu and Gancia, 2012; Jaffe et al., 2002) admit that the  
115 effects of green technologies (positive or negative) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions depend on the country's  
116 wealth and the time of the impact (short vs. long-term). The existence of short-term rebound  
117 effects<sup>5</sup> (Braungardt et al., 2016) is particularly noticeable in technologies e.g. fuel (Belaid et  
118 al., 2019; Font et al., 2016; Herring and Sorrell, 2009; Sorrell, 2007), and this contributes to the  
119 debate on the effect of green technologies on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Similarly, the findings of Weina  
120 et al. (2016) in the Italy-wide study points to the extent to which environmental innovations  
121 increase environmental productivity, but do not reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

122 These various studies on the determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions highlight the important work that  
123 remains to be done to untangle the spectrum of the sustainability process, particularly when it  
124 comes to environmental innovations. From policy perspectives, the results of such analysis  
125 would constitute a strategic tool to better guide public policies aimed at achieving climate  
126 objectives. This is one of the major contributions of our study. This original contribution stems  
127 from the multidimensional analysis and investigating some of the nuances that are often  
128 overlooked in the current economic and policy debate. Despite the growing emphasis on the  
129 localized nature of environmental innovations, our understanding of its effects  
130 on environmental quality enhancement remains limited. Indeed, environmental innovations are  
131 the subject of little research, both in terms of empirical work on the geography of innovation  
132 and on the analysis of the determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Du et al., 2019; Autant-Bernard et  
133 al., 2010). In this context, the specificities of environmental innovations are poorly studied,  
134 even less so when it comes to studying the impact of environmental innovations on carbon  
135 emissions.

136 This article examines the effects of green technologies on carbon emissions in EU countries.  
137 Based on the work of Du et al. (2019), we use CO<sub>2</sub> emissions as a proxy for carbon emissions  
138 performance. The explanatory variables are based on the literature findings including  
139 environmental innovations and three control variables namely the consumption of renewable  
140 energy, GDP per capita, and degree of economic openness.

141

## 142 *2.1 Environmental Innovations*

143 The patent is an indicator of technological innovation insofar as it captures the R&D activity  
144 carried out within firms (Griliches, 1990). Patents filed in environmental technologies are a  
145 relevant indicator for approximating environmental innovations. Although many studies have  
146 analyzed the effects of environmental innovations on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, research is still limited  
147 and far from reaching a general consensus. An early study by Weina et al. (2016), across 95

---

<sup>5</sup> An illustration of the direct rebound effect is given by Herring and Sorrell (2009). For example, consumers using fuel-efficient cars may travel for longer and more often due to reducing the cost of travelling.

148 Italian provinces, shows that environmental technologies have no significant effect on reducing  
149 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, although they increase environmental productivity. However, a recent study by  
150 Du et al. (2019), using a panel of 71 countries for the 1996-2012 period, demonstrates that  
151 [environmental innovations make a significant contribution to lowering CO<sub>2</sub> emissions,](#)  
152 [especially in countries with high-income levels.](#) Other studies underline the existence of a short-  
153 term rebound effect especially for environmental technologies related to energy efficiency and  
154 transportation (Font Vivanco et al., 2016; Herring and Sorrell, 2009; Sorrell, 2007). Braungardt  
155 et al. (2016) examined the impact of energy-efficient innovations on electricity demands for  
156 residents across the 27 EU countries. They found that innovations in energy efficiency  
157 contribute to better energy efficiency, which tends to limit CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. They also claim that  
158 it is essential to combine measures to reduce the rebound effect with the policy measure to  
159 promote developing residential energy-efficiency innovations.

160 [Recent empirical studies explored the impact of innovation on environmental quality \(Fethi and](#)  
161 [Rahuma, 2019; Ganda, 2019; Hashmi and Alam, 2019; Töbelmann and Wendler, 2020\).](#) A  
162 [common agreement of this literature is that innovation and technological improvement have a](#)  
163 [positive impact on environmental quality, which is frequently alluded to as the technological](#)  
164 [effect. Fethi and Rahuma \(2019\) document that eco-innovation plays important role in](#)  
165 [enhancing environmental quality in the top 20 refined oil-exporting countries. In the context](#)  
166 [of OECD countries, Ganda \(2019\) highlights that technology and general innovation](#)  
167 [investments affect environmental quality in various ways, and have the potential to reduce](#)  
168 [environmental quality. This implies that it is necessary to make innovation and technology](#)  
169 [investments compatible with the environment. Hashmi and Alam \(2019\) suggest that](#)  
170 [environmentally friendly patent has a positive impact on the environmental quality in the OECD](#)  
171 [countries, a 1% increase in green innovation reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 0.017%. In the same](#)  
172 [vein, a recent study by Töbelmann and Wendler \(2020\) shows that environmental innovation,](#)  
173 [unlike general innovative activity, contributes to the improvement of environmental quality in](#)  
174 [the EU-27 countries. Therefore, we suggest the following:](#)

175 ***Hypothesis 1.*** Environmental innovations have a positive effect on carbon emissions in  
176 the short-term due to the possible rebound effects, whereas they have a negative effect  
177 in the long-run.

178

## 179 *2.2 Renewable Energy Consumption*

180 Renewable energies such as wind, solar, geothermal, and waste have the advantage of being  
181 carbon neutral and non-exhaustible. The consumption of renewable energies is a real  
182 sustainable economic alternative that could limit the depletion of natural resources, reduce air  
183 pollution, ensure energy security, and finally create jobs. Prior studies suggest evidence on the  
184 links between economic growth, energy consumption and/or production (non-renewable and  
185 renewable), and carbon emissions. An early study by Bento and Moutinho (2016), over the  
186 period 1960-2011 for the case of Italy, validates the EKC hypothesis by estimating pollution  
187 model that indicates less pollution over time caused by economic growth. It also shows that the  
188 production of renewable electricity per capita reduces the level of carbon emissions per capita  
189 in the long and short-terms. Gozgor (2018a) confirms the results of this work for the US case  
190 by showing the significant and positive long-term association between economic growth and  
191 the consumption of renewable energy. These results are also confirmed for the case of

192 developing countries (Liu et al., 2017; Kahia et al., 2016). Thus, renewable energy consumption  
193 contributes to the achievement of green growth objectives.

194 ***Hypothesis 2.*** The consumption of renewable energy promotes the reduction of carbon  
195 emissions. A significant and negative effect is assumed in the short and long-terms.

196

### 197 *2.3 GDP per Capita*

198 Following the work of Kuznet (1955), numerous empirical studies have examined the impact  
199 of economic growth on carbon emissions to test EKC's validity. Grossman and Krueger's  
200 (1991) study empirically examined the association between the level of air quality and  
201 economic growth in many cities around the world. They demonstrate that starting from a certain  
202 income level or when a certain stage of development is reached, economic growth makes it  
203 possible to reduce environmental damage by moving from a polluting industrialized economy  
204 to a tertiary cleaner economy. This is particularly relevant to investments in cleaner  
205 technologies and the increased environmental awareness, which are the consequence of the  
206 improvement in the living conditions of individuals. Although a large body of the literature has  
207 tested EKC, consensus is yet to be attained (Ridzuan, 2019). There are mixed results on the  
208 association between income inequality and the environment, with reports of positive, negative,  
209 and no significant relationship<sup>6</sup>. Berthe and Elie (2015) claim that this heterogeneity in the  
210 findings is largely related to the endogenous variables mobilized and no clear trend has been  
211 identified for carbon emissions, air, and water pollution. Many of the existing empirical results  
212 are in line with studies (Wilkinson and Pickett, 2010; Magnani, 2000; Boyce, 1994), which  
213 recognizes that income level negatively affects the environment quality.

214 In this study, we focus on the impact of income level rather than income inequality. Indeed,  
215 despite the divergent results in the literature on the supposed impact of income levels on the  
216 quality of the environment, we should be reminded that the EC's long-term strategy to move  
217 towards a carbon-free economy by 2050 (EC, 2018) places the decoupling<sup>7</sup> of economic  
218 prosperity from resource consumption (UNEP, 2016) as a cornerstone instrument. This strategy  
219 also assumes that an increase in GDP leads to an increase in the consumption of resources and  
220 energy, which is a source of environmental degradation (Crane et al., 2011). Moreover, Global  
221 Footprint Network data<sup>8</sup> shows that disparities in people's ecological footprints are strongly  
222 related to the level of development of their countries. For example, in 2016, the lifestyle of  
223 North Americans required 4.95 planets compared to 2.8 for Europeans and 0.83 for Africans.  
224 This suggests that GDP per capita growth can increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions over the long term.

225 ***Hypothesis 3.*** The growth of GDP per capita contributes to the increase in carbon  
226 emissions over the long-term.

---

<sup>6</sup> For an excellent review see (Berthe and Elie, 2015; Cushing et al., 2015). Recent empirical studies (Liu and Feng, 2018; Mader, 2018; Grunewald et al., 2017; Hübler, 2017; Jorgenson et al., 2017; Kasuga and Takaya, 2017)

<sup>7</sup> Decoupling is an economics term refereing to the goal of separating economic prosperity (income generation, economic growth) from resource and energy consumption (negative environmental impact, greenhouse gas emissions, etc.).

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.footprintnetwork.org/>

## 227 2.4 International Trade Openness

228 The degree of economic openness makes it possible to measure the dynamics of a country's  
229 international trade. Increasing globalization trade flows over the last two decades have fuelled  
230 emerging literature that analyses the effects of international trade on carbon emissions  
231 performance. Theoretically, international trade has been claimed as one of the drivers that  
232 stimulate economic growth by increasing the size of the market, facilitating specialization and  
233 efficiency in the distribution of resources, promoting international transfers of technology and  
234 knowledge, increasing competition, and improving governance (Grossman and Helpman, 1995;  
235 Barro and Lee, 1994; Edwards, 1989). However, these same mechanisms can also affect the  
236 quality of the environment through three main effects. First, the so-called scale effect offers  
237 companies opportunities to explore larger markets, which in turn increases the level of  
238 production and significantly affects CO<sub>2</sub>. Secondly, the impact can be intensified by the so-  
239 called structure effect (specialization) which implies a better allocation of resources and higher  
240 productivity. This effect implies that rich countries tend to focus on capital-intensive industries  
241 (labour intensity). Thus, the hypothesis of pollution havens suggest that countries with strict  
242 environmental regulations (vs. Laxists) may have to specialize in clean industries (vs. pollution  
243 generators), when environmental standards differ. In general, products that produce the most  
244 pollution are capital-intensive. Advanced economies have a high capital endowment, but strict  
245 environmental policies. The effects of international trade suggest that strict policies would  
246 impose pollution-intensive production on developing countries to bear the burden of pollution  
247 in advanced economies (Copeland and Taylor, 2013). Thirdly, the so-called technology effect  
248 implies that international trade promotes access to more environmentally friendly production  
249 technologies, encourages the race for environmental standards and regulation, and encourages  
250 countries to use more efficient technologies. These different behaviors reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions  
251 (Thoenig and Verdier, 2003).

252 On the side of the empirical literature, the results are particularly mixed<sup>9</sup>. Antweiler et al. (2001)  
253 found that liberalizing trade reduces carbon emissions. Earlier studies (Frankel, 2005; Cole,  
254 2004; Cole and Elliott, 2003) questioned this positive effect and used different types of  
255 pollution emissions. These doubts are confirmed by several studies (Aklin, 2016; Kozul-  
256 Wright, 2012; Ang, 2009; Dean, 2002), demonstrating that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions increase as a result  
257 of trade openness. On the contrary, other studies (Kearsley and Riddel, 2010; Kellenberg, 2008;  
258 Prakash, 2006) demonstrated that openness in international trade is not associated with  
259 increasing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Finally, empirical evidence (e.g. Baek et al., 2009; Managi et al.,  
260 2009) suggests that openness in international trade tends to benefit the environment of advanced  
261 economies (OECD members).

262 [An extensive number of studies have demonstrated the existence of a significant relationship](#)  
263 [between international trade and the intensity of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions \(Tiba and Belaid, 2020; Omri](#)  
264 [and Belaïd, 2020\). While economic openness is conducive to reducing carbon emissions and](#)  
265 [enhancing efficiency in developed countries \(Forslid et al. 2018\), for developing countries, it](#)  
266 [tends to increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions \(Acheampong et al. 2019\). A recent study, based on a panel](#)  
267 [of 179 world economies, conducted by Du and Li \(2019\) shows that economic openness impact](#)  
268 [on environmental quality relies on the income level. The findings argue that international trade](#)  
269 [tends to increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for low-income countries, while it improves environmental](#)

---

<sup>9</sup> For a review see Kim et al. (2019).



270 quality for the high-income countries. The magnitude of international trade on carbon emissions  
 271 effect increases with income growth. Based on this, we suggest the following:

272 **Hypothesis 4.** Trade openness may improve the environmental quality of the 15-EU  
 273 countries.

### 274 3. The Study Method

#### 275 3.1 Data

276 The variables used in this study are carefully selected based on the availability of data and  
 277 economic theory (Du et al., 2019; Su and Moaniba, 2017). We use the annual data for the period  
 278 (1991-2014) for the EU-15 countries<sup>10</sup>: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany,  
 279 Greece, Spain, Spain, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, the United  
 280 Kingdom, and Sweden.

281 Our dependent variable is CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (expressed in metric tons per capita). In this study,  
 282 we rely on the work of (Du et al., 2019; Su and Moaniba, 2017) using patent data - ‘technologies  
 283 related to the environment’ - to approximate environmental innovations (INNOV). The  
 284 aggregated category of these technologies includes climate change technologies, water-related  
 285 adaptation technologies, and environmental management technologies. Control variables  
 286 include the share of renewable energy in the total consumption level. The latter is used as a  
 287 proxy for the renewable energies consumption (REC) and represents a composite variable of  
 288 consumption of solar, hydroelectric, geothermal, biomass, and wind energy in the total energy  
 289 consumed. Other determinants include per capita of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (US \$),  
 290 and the degree of economic openness (OPEN) measured as the sum of imports and exports as  
 291 a percentage of GDP. With the exception of patent data (OECD database)<sup>11</sup>, all variables were  
 292 extracted from the World Bank Group Development Indicator Database<sup>12</sup>. Descriptive statistics  
 293 of the variables used in our model are shown in Table 1.

294

295 Table 1. Descriptive Statistics.

	<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>INNOV</b>	<b>REC</b>	<b>GDP</b>	<b>OPEN</b>
Mean	9.21	405.01	12.96	41694.58	92.27
Median	8.66	170.93	8.28	38899.17	69.85
Maximun	27.43	4607.71	49.94	111968.40	382.29
Minimum	4.33	0.50	0.60	17278.30	33.98
Std. Dev	4.00	763.76	11.95	17094.55	60.71
Skewness	2.23	3.47	1.06	1.89	2.25
Kurtosis	9.07	15.77	3.13	7.70	8.65
Jarque-Bera	853.09	3174.96	68.51	547.79	784.10
Probability	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sum	3317.28	145804.9	4665.76	15010049	33220.77
Sum Sq.Dev	5755.33	2.09 <sup>E</sup> +08	51289.12	1.05 <sup>E</sup> +11	1323501

296 Notes: Observations=360

<sup>10</sup> Countries that belonged to the EU-15 are considered as economically the ‘most developed countries’ of the EU, compared to the new member countries of Central Europe, still in the process of catching up economically.

<sup>11</sup> <https://stats.oecd.org/?lang=fr>

<sup>12</sup> <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator>

297 We converted our sample to a panel data format, which has the particularity of taking into  
 298 account the temporal dynamics (adjustment delay, anticipations, etc.) with the explanation of a  
 299 time series variable. Thus, improving the forecasts and the effectiveness of policies (decisions,  
 300 actions, etc.), in contrast with the simple (non-dynamic) model whose instantaneous  
 301 explanation (immediate effect or not spread over time) only restates part of the variation of the  
 302 variable it explains. The data is also converted into a logarithm format.

303

### 304 *3.2 Empirical Model and Estimation Procedure*

305 In line with the recent literature on the drivers of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, we develop an empirical model  
 306 that takes the following form:

$$307 \quad CO2 = f (INNOV, REC, GDP, OPEN) \quad (1)$$

308 Where CO<sub>2</sub> represents CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and is a function of four variables: environmental  
 309 innovations (INNOV), renewable energy consumption (REC), GDP per capita (GDP), and the  
 310 degree of economic openness (OPEN).

311 Eq. (1) can be rewritten in a logarithmic form with a time series and panel form specification  
 312 as follows:

$$313 \quad LogCO2_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 LogCO2_{it-j} + \alpha_2 LogINNOV_{it} + \alpha_3 LogREC_{it} + \alpha_4 LogGDP_{it} + \\ 314 \quad \alpha_5 LogOPEN_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (2)$$

315

316 Where the subscript i (i = 1, ..., N) indicate the country i in our sample, N is equal to 15. t (t =  
 317 1, ..., T) indicates the time period. Our panel constitutes 15 countries and 24 years. The variables  
 318 are not stationary at I(0) but they are probably at I(1). This means that the model is dynamic  
 319 and considers inclusion of lagged dependent variables as a regressor. As suggested by Pesaran  
 320 and Smith (1995), ARDL model is more appropriate because it has advantages over other  
 321 dynamic model GMM estimators, fixed effects, or instrumental variables (Arellano and Bover,  
 322 1995). Unless the coefficients are the same across countries, these methods produce  
 323 inconsistent estimation. On the other hand, the ARDL model is relatively more efficient in small  
 324 t and finite sample sizes. The model has a form of an ARDL (p, q, q....q) model:

$$325 \quad LogCO2_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_{ij} LogCO2_{i,t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^q \delta'_{ij} X_{i,t-j} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (3)$$

326 Reparametrising the model, it becomes:

$$327 \quad \Delta LogCO2_{it} = \Phi_i (LogCO2_{i,t-1} - \beta'_i X_{i,t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ij} \Delta LogCO2_{i,t-j} + \\ 328 \quad \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \delta'_{ij} \Delta X_{i,t-j} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (4)$$

329 Where:

- 330 • X is the vector of explanatory variables;
- 331 •  $\Phi_i$  is the group-specific speed of adjustment coefficient (expected that  $\Phi_i < 0$ );
- 332 •  $\beta'_i$  measures the long-run effect of the determinants on carbon emissions;
- 333 •  $ECT = [LogCO2_{i,t-1} - \beta'_i X_{i,t}]$  is the error correction term (ECT);

- 334 •  $\alpha_{ij}, \delta'_{ij}$  are the short-run dynamic coefficients;
- 335 •  $p$  et  $q$  are optimal lag orders<sup>13</sup> ; and
- 336 •  $\mu_i$  is the constant.

337 We note that,  $\varepsilon_{it}$ , which is the random disturbance term, is homoscedastic (i.e. constant  
 338 variance), serially independent, and normally distributed. The specified model in equation 3 is  
 339 a particular class of error correction models, which enable the coefficients to fluctuate among  
 340 units. The Pesaran's Pooled Mean Group estimator (MG) is consistent to estimate this model  
 341 (Pesaran and Smith, 1995; Pesaran et al., 1999). In the case the long-run parameters are  
 342 homogeneous across groups, the Pooled Mean Group (PMG) estimator (Pesaran et al., 1999)  
 343 will be more efficient. However, this approach is appropriate only if the factors are integrated of  
 344 order zero (I(0) or one (I(1); and this approach is suitable to both small or large samples.  
 345 Further, we will display the unit-root tests, the cointegration test, i.e. whether a long-term  
 346 relationship exists between the factor, and panel model estimates.

### 347 3.2.1 Unit Roots Test

348 To test for unit roots (or stationarity), we used various tests including Cross-sectional  
 349 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (CADF) (Pesaran, 2007), Im–Pesaran–Shi (IPS) (Im et al., 2003),  
 350 and Levin–Lin–Chu (LLC) (Levin et al., 2002) tests. In these tests, the null hypothesis is that  
 351 all the panels contain a unit root and alternative null is not true. The findings of this test are  
 352 presented in Table 2.

353 Table 2. Unit roots test.

Variables	CADF		LLC		IPS	
	Level	First Diff.	Level	First Diff.	Level	First Diff.
Log CO <sub>2</sub>	7.77	89.67***	5.25	-3.67***	5.42	-5.50***
LogINNOV	22.08	117.50***	-2.70***	-2.18***	0.47	-7.75***
LogREC	4.29	9.53***	5.23	-5.88***	7.89	-6.06***
LogOPEN	31.87	144.16***	-2.91**	-10.04***	0.08	-9.55***
LogGDP	31.08	88.99***	-5.27***	-6.27***	-0.94	-5.73***

354 Notes: \*\*\* denotes significance at 1% level

355 From the results of ADF, IPS, and LLC unit root tests, it appears that the variables are integrated  
 356 of order I(0) or I(1). Specifically, in level, the results of the unit root test (LLC) obtained  
 357 indicate that LogINNOV, LogOPEN and LogGDP are stationary in I(0). Unit root tests in the  
 358 first difference indicate that all variables are integrated in I(1). The variables' statistics are  
 359 significant at the 1% level. The null hypothesis can be rejected when these variables are  
 360 stationary. Thus, we use a mixture of I(1) and I(0) to estimate an ARDL model. Next, we  
 361 perform the cointegration test to show whether a long-term relationship exists between CO<sub>2</sub>  
 362 emissions, environmental innovations, consumption of renewable energies, GDP per capita,  
 363 and degree of economic openness.

### 364 3.2.2 Cointegration test

365 We tested the variables cointegration with Pedroni's (2004) test. This approach is based on  
 366 examining residuals. The residuals must be stationary if cointegration among the variables

<sup>13</sup> Given the size of our sample, in our case the optimal model will be of the form ARDL (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

367 exists. The absence of cointegration is expressed by the null hypothesis, in which the residuals  
 368  $\varepsilon_{it}$  will be I (1). The result of the cointegration test is shown in Table 3.

369

370 Table 3. Results of the Cointegration tests

The alternative hypothesis is: common AR coefs. (within-dimensions)

	<b>Weighted</b>			
	<b>Statistic</b>	<b>Prob</b>	<b>Statistic</b>	<b>Prob</b>
Panel v-Statistics	0.58	0.28	0.63	0.26
Panel rho-Statistics	0.09	0.53	-0.88	0.18
Panel PP-Statistics	-3.42***	0.00	-5.19***	0.00
Panel ADF-Statistics	-1.79**	0.03	-1.43*	0.07

The alternative hypothesis is: individual AR coefs. (between-dimensions)

	<b>Statistic</b>	<b>Prob</b>
Group rho-Statistics	0.63	0.73
Group PP-Statistics	-5.79***	0.00
Group ADF-Statistics	-0.97	0.16

371 Notes : \*\*\* denotes significance at 1% level

372 The cointegration results indicate that PP-Statistics panels; ADF Statistics and Group PP-  
 373 Statistics are significant allowing to reject the null hypothesis of no cointegration, suggesting  
 374 that there is long-term co-integration between the determinants considered in our empirical  
 375 model. Note that the PP-Statistics and PP-Statistics groups have the best properties. At a 1%  
 376 threshold, we reject the null hypothesis with no cointegration by the PP-Statistics panel and PP-  
 377 Statistics group. These results confirm that a cointegration association exists between the series  
 378 under study, which gives the possibility of estimating the long-term effects of LogINNOV,  
 379 LogREC, LogOPEN, and LogGDPH on Log CO<sub>2</sub>.

380

#### 381 4. ARDL Results

382 Using the Pooled Mean Group (PMG), the results of the estimates are presented in Table 4  
 383 highlighting the long-term and the short-term equilibrium for the entire sample. Table 4. Panel  
 384 ARDL long-Run and short-Run PMG estimation.

Long-term equation

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>t-Statistics</b>	<b>P-value</b>
LogINNOV	-0.12***	-5.63	0.00
LogREC	-0.13***	-12.55	0.00
LogOPEN	0.22***	9.31	0.00
LogGDP	0.15***	2.69	0.00

Short-term equation

Variables	Coefficient	t-Statistics	P-value
ECT	-0.33***	-2.93	0.00
DLogINNOV	0.04***	2.93	0.00
DLogREC	-0.28***	-2.93	0.00
DLogOPEN	0.07	1.20	0.22
DLogGDP	0.50***	2.81	0.00
Constant	0.22**	2.17	0.03

385 Dependent variable D(log CO<sub>2</sub>); Level of significance \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

386

#### 387 4.1 Long-term Effect

388 The results indicate that all variables have long-term effects. Environmental innovations  
389 (LogINNOV) has a significant and negative effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. More specifically, over  
390 the long-term, a 1% increase in patent filings in environment-related technologies contributes  
391 to a 0.12% decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This result is in line with the findings of Du et al. (2019)  
392 on a sample of 71 countries. These results are also consistent with Braungardt et al.'s (2016)  
393 findings from a sample of 27 EU countries.

394 It is still necessary to check the short-term effects in order to detect the existence of a supposed  
395 rebound effect. The consumption of renewable energies (LogREC) has a significant and  
396 negative effect on the long-run. These results are in line with those of Gozgor (2018b) for the  
397 case of the United States and with those of Cerdeira et al. (2016) for the case of Italy.

398 For their part, variables approximating degree of economic openness (LogOPEN) and GDP per  
399 capita (LogGDP) show significant and positive effects on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. More specifically,  
400 over the long-term, an increase of 1% in international trade openness (LogOPEN) and GDP per  
401 capita (LogGDP) contributes respectively to an increase of 0.22% and 0.15% in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.  
402 The negative impact of economic openness on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is contrary to the results of earlier  
403 empirical studies (Baek et al., 2009; Managi et al., 2009) emphasizing that liberalization of  
404 trade in developed economies can be beneficial for the environment. These results, however,  
405 are in line with previous studies (Aklin, 2016; Ang, 2009; Dean, 2002; Kozul-Wright, 2012)  
406 suggesting that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions increase due to increased trade openness. This result could be  
407 explained by the effect of scale and structure.

408 Finally, EKC's hypothesis is not validated in the case of our sample. In fact, the rise in GDP  
409 per capita tends to increase the deterioration of the environment (CO<sub>2</sub> emission). This result  
410 appears to be consistent with the theoretical analyses (Boyce, 1994; Magnani, 2000; Wilkinson  
411 and Pickett, 2010) suggesting that income inequality has a negative effect on the environment.  
412 Wilkinson and Pickett (2010) argue that, in developed countries, this is largely due to  
413 consumerist and individualistic behavior. In the EU, the significant increase in GDP per capita  
414 over the studied period (1990-2014) was accompanied by a serious social crisis (Turquet, 2015),  
415 which tends to amplify income inequalities. In this context, public policies focus more on  
416 economic growth than on the protection of the environment (Magnani, 2000), and individuals  
417 seem to focus more on improving their economic situation than the environment.

418

419

## 420 4.2 Short-term Effect

421 Short-term dynamics modeling provides information on how adjustments are made between  
422 different determinants to restore long-term equilibrium. The ECT captures this relationship with  
423 a coefficient indicating the speed of adjustment, i.e. the rate at which the system returns to  
424 equilibrium after an impact. A long-term relationship exists if the sign of the coefficient of the  
425 ECT is significantly negative and varies between -1 and -2. As shown in Table 4, we note that  
426 the estimated coefficient for the ECT is significant and negative (-0.33) at the 1% threshold,  
427 which indicates the existence of a long-term relationship. The rebound effect is observed in the  
428 short-term through the impact of the variable (LogINNOV), which has a significant and positive  
429 effect at the 1% level. In other words, environmental innovations tend to increase CO<sub>2</sub>  
430 emissions in the EU-15 countries in the short-run.

431 Nevertheless, these results suggest the existence of a possible rebound effect, which is a  
432 behavioral response to an improvement in energy efficiency. One of the rational explanations  
433 for this failure is that the increased energy efficiency does not necessarily translate into a  
434 corresponding decrease in the environmental quality in absolute terms (Belaïd et al., 2018;  
435 2020; Bureau et al., 2019). Various microeconomic studies on the rebound effect show that  
436 income and substitution effects help explain how the rebound effect influences users' attitudes  
437 and behaviors. The rebound effect has occupied an increasingly important place on the agenda  
438 of policy makers since the early 1980s, but it has its origins in the seminal work of Jevons  
439 (1865). Davis et al., (2014) found that a replacement program for air conditioners and  
440 refrigerators in Mexico increases electricity consumption. Sorrell (2007) and Vivanco et al.  
441 (2016) show that, in the case of Europe, the rebound effect is particularly noticeable for green  
442 technologies related to energy efficiency and the transport sector. The effect of the consumption  
443 of renewable energies (LogREC) remains unchanged (significant and negative at the 1%  
444 threshold) in the short-term and again tends to contribute to a reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The  
445 degree of economic openness has no effect in the short-term. Lastly, GDP per capita (LogGDP)  
446 has a positive impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

447

## 448 5. Conclusions and Policy Implications

449 Actions in favor of the climate are imperative today. Environmental innovations can play a key  
450 role in the green transition of economies. At the EU level, stylized facts show an inverse  
451 relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and patenting of environmental technologies. According  
452 to OECD data, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions tend to decrease (-26%) over the period 1990-2014, while at the  
453 same time patent filings of these technologies have not stopped growing (+209% in the same  
454 period). This phenomenon is also geo-localized and particularly noticeable in a few countries  
455 such as Germany, France, and the United Kingdom. Despite these stylized facts, the empirical  
456 work to examine the effects of environmental technologies on carbon emissions is still limited.  
457 Indeed, environmental innovations are the subject of little analysis both in terms of empirical  
458 work relating to the geography of innovation and those relating to the analysis of the  
459 determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This study attempts to contribute to filling this important gap  
460 by examining the effect of green technologies on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of 15 EU countries using an  
461 ARDL model.

462 We estimated an ARDL model from the PMG estimator to examine the effects of environmental  
463 innovations, renewable energy consumption, GDP per capita, and the degree of economic  
464 openness on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Our main results show that: in the long-term, environmental  
465 innovations tend to lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, whereas in the short-term the observed effect is the  
466 opposite, suggesting the existence of a rebound effect. These results are in line with previous  
467 studies (Vivanco et al., 2016; Herring and Sorrell, 2009; Sorrell, 2007), which underline the  
468 existence of a short-term rebound effect in EU countries. Moreover, the consumption of  
469 renewable energy (long and short-term) tends to lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Europe. An analysis  
470 of country specificities would be relevant in order to observe the persistence of this  
471 phenomenon at the country level. Indeed, the energy mix and the decarbonization strategies of  
472 electricity production tend to increase the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In France, for example, nuclear  
473 energy accounts for nearly 71.6% of electricity production. However, according to the Réseau  
474 de Transport d'Électricité, the massive investment in solar panels paradoxically increases CO<sub>2</sub>  
475 emissions in France as photovoltaic panels emit nearly 3 times more CO<sub>2</sub> than nuclear energy  
476 (considered as carbon-free energy). Finally, economic openness and GDP per capita have  
477 significant and positive effects on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The results of trade openness are in line with  
478 previous work (Aklin, 2016; Ang, 2009; Dean, 2002; Kozul-Wright, 2012), which shows that  
479 increased trade openness increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This result could be explained by the effect  
480 of scale and structure. Also, the rise in GDP per capita tends to increase the deterioration of the  
481 environment (CO<sub>2</sub> emission). This result appears to be consistent with prior studies (Wilkinson  
482 and Pickett 2010; Magnani, 2000; Boyce, 1994), recognising that income inequality negatively  
483 affects the environment.

484 In light of these results, several public policy orientation can be suggested. First, public  
485 environmental policies tend to revolve around a combination of several political instruments.  
486 These include, on the one hand, economic instruments focused on the price signal and the  
487 polluter pays principle (within this framework, there are instruments such as environmental  
488 taxation, incentives or dissuasive, and policies that provide significant support for green  
489 technologies). On the other hand, environmental policies incorporate instruments of a  
490 regulatory nature and focused on supporting individuals towards profound changes in their  
491 consumption and production patterns. The theoretical basis of the later instruments is based on  
492 work in ecological economics claiming that the earth is a finite space and that everyone is  
493 responsible for preserving it. Policy makers find it difficult to balance these different  
494 instruments in order to guarantee the most efficient environmental policy possible. In relation  
495 to our results on the effect of environmental innovations on long-term CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, it appears  
496 that green technologies constitute one of the solutions to favor the fight against global warming  
497 because of their resilience capacity. However, the short-term effects, on the contrary, the  
498 increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions caused by environmental innovations signal the extent to which it is  
499 necessary to support using these technologies through education and access to information. This  
500 can lead to perverse effects such as the rebound effect observed in this study. Sorrell (2010)  
501 claims that the rebound effects raise the question of the effectiveness of energy efficiency  
502 policies given the behavioral responses that tend to reduce the scale of energy savings. Thus,  
503 measures other than prices must be considered by policy makers to limit the rebound effect.  
504 Specifically, it is imperative to encourage individuals to consume more effectively by reducing  
505 the environmental effects of each product and/or service. New policies should encourage people  
506 to consume differently by focusing on products and services that have less negative effect on

507 the environment. This would result in the purchase of greener products or increased spending  
508 on services rather than manufactured goods.

509 The rise in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions brought about by the rise in GDP and degree of economic openness,  
510 not to mention the plausible effects of irreversibility, the fragility of environments,  
511 accumulation of pollutants in ecosystems, and exhaustion of stockpiles and energy motivates  
512 the questioning the current model of economic growth. For proponents of the ecological  
513 economy, the environment must be considered as the support of any human activity in which  
514 the inputs are the capability of the environment to absorb waste and provide resources, and the  
515 outputs (resulting) growth and development (Boutaud et al., 2006). Taking the principle of  
516 ‘strong’ sustainability, there is a need to rely to a lesser extent on green technologies, and to  
517 rely more on measures to accompany the structural changes deep in lifestyles of individuals to  
518 deal with possible rebound effect. In practice, public decision-makers must focus their actions  
519 on environmental policies of a green and inclusive economy that combine tools of  
520 environmental economics with those of the ecological economy. This can be done by  
521 associating economic incentives with regulatory changes that enable promoting individual  
522 approaches focused on structural changes in lifestyles (e.g. the multitude of standards and labels  
523 based on voluntary membership such as ‘eco-products’, initiatives for the ‘collaborative  
524 economy’, among others).



525 **References**

- 526 Acemoglu, D., Gancia, G., Zilibotti, F., 2012. Competing engines of growth: Innovation and  
527 standardization. *Journal of Economic Theory* 147, 570–601.
- 528 Acheampong, A.O., 2019. Modelling for insight: does financial development improve environmental  
529 quality?. *Energy Economics*, 83, pp.156-179.
- 530 Aghion, P., Hemous, D., Veugelers, R., 2009. Quelles politiques pour encourager l’innovation verte?  
531 Regards croisés sur l’économie 165–174.
- 532 Aklin, M., 2016. Re-exploring the trade and environment nexus through the diffusion of pollution.  
533 *Environmental and Resource Economics* 64, 663–682.
- 534 Amri, F., Bélaïd, F., & Roubaud, D. (2018). Does technological innovation improve environmental  
535 sustainability in developing countries? Some evidence from Tunisia. *The Journal of Energy and*  
536 *Development*, 44(1/2), 41-60.
- 537 Ang, J.B., 2009. CO2 emissions, research and technology transfer in China. *Ecological Economics* 68,  
538 2658–2665.
- 539 Antweiler, W., Copeland, B.R. and Taylor, M.S., 2001. Is free trade good for the environment?.  
540 *American economic review*, 91(4), pp.877-908.
- 541 Apergis, N. and Payne, J.E., 2014. Renewable energy, output, CO2 emissions, and fossil fuel prices in  
542 Central America: Evidence from a nonlinear panel smooth transition vector error correction  
543 model. *Energy Economics*, 42, pp.226-232.
- 544 Arellano, M. and Bover, O., 1995. Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-  
545 components models. *Journal of econometrics*, 68(1), pp.29-51.
- 546 Autant-Bernard, C., Billand, P., Massard, N., 2010. «L’économie industrielle depuis 30 ans: réalisations  
547 et perspectives». *Innovation et espace—des externalités aux réseaux. Revue d’économie*  
548 *industrielle* 203–236.
- 549 Baek, J., Cho, Y., Koo, W.W., 2009. The environmental consequences of globalization: A country-  
550 specific time-series analysis. *Ecological economics* 68, 2255–2264.
- 551 Barro, R.J. and Lee, J.W., 1994, June. Sources of economic growth. In *Carnegie-Rochester conference*  
552 *series on public policy* (Vol. 40, pp. 1-46). North-Holland.
- 553 Belaïd, F. and Abderrahmani, F., 2013. Electricity consumption and economic growth in Algeria: A  
554 multivariate causality analysis in the presence of structural change. *Energy Policy*, 55, pp.286-  
555 295.
- 556 Belaid, F. and Youssef, M., 2017. Environmental degradation, renewable and non-renewable electricity  
557 consumption, and economic growth: Assessing the evidence from Algeria. *Energy Policy*, 102,  
558 pp.277-287.
- 559 Belaid, F. and Zrelli, M.H., 2019. Renewable and non-renewable electricity consumption,  
560 environmental degradation and economic development: Evidence from Mediterranean  
561 countries. *Energy Policy*, 133, p.110929.
- 562 Belaïd, F., Bakaloglou, S. and Roubaud, D., 2018. Direct rebound effect of residential gas demand:  
563 Empirical evidence from France. *Energy Policy*, 115, pp.23-31.
- 564 Belaïd, F., Roubaud, D. and Galariotis, E., 2019. Features of residential energy consumption: Evidence  
565 from France using an innovative multilevel modelling approach. *Energy policy*, 125, pp.277-  
566 285.

- 567 Belaïd, F., Youssef, A.B. and Lazaric, N., 2020. Scrutinizing the direct rebound effect for French  
568 households using quantile regression and data from an original survey. *Ecological Economics*,  
569 176, p.106755.
- 570 Bento, J.P.C. and Moutinho, V., 2016. CO2 emissions, non-renewable and renewable electricity  
571 production, economic growth, and international trade in Italy. *Renewable and Sustainable*  
572 *Energy Reviews*, 55, pp.142-155.
- 573 Berthe, A., Elie, L., 2015. Mechanisms explaining the impact of economic inequality on environmental  
574 deterioration. *Ecological economics* 116, 191–200.
- 575 Boutaud, A., Gondran, N., Brodhag, C., 2006. (Local) environmental quality versus (global) ecological  
576 carrying capacity: what might alternative aggregated indicators bring to the debates about  
577 environmental Kuznets curves and sustainable development? *International journal of*  
578 *sustainable development* 9, 297–310.
- 579 Boyce, J.K., 1994. Inequality as a cause of environmental degradation. *Ecological Economics* 11, 169–  
580 178.
- 581 Braungardt, S., Elsland, R., Eichhammer, W., 2016. The environmental impact of eco-innovations: the  
582 case of EU residential electricity use. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* 18, 213–  
583 228.
- 584 Bureau, D., Henriët, F., & Schubert, K. (2019). Pour le climat : une taxe juste, pas juste une taxe. *Notes*  
585 *Du Conseil d'analyse Économique*, n° 50(2), 1. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ncae.050.0001>
- 586 Chen, W. and Lei, Y., 2018. The impacts of renewable energy and technological innovation on  
587 environment-energy-growth nexus: new evidence from a panel quantile regression. *Renewable*  
588 *energy*, 123, pp.1-14.
- 589 Cheng, C., Ren, X., Wang, Z., Shi, Y., 2018. The impacts of non-fossil energy, economic growth, energy  
590 consumption, and oil price on carbon intensity: evidence from a panel quantile regression  
591 analysis of EU 28. *Sustainability* 10, 4067.
- 592 Cole, M.A., 2004. Trade, the pollution haven hypothesis and the environmental Kuznets curve:  
593 examining the linkages. *Ecological economics* 48, 71–81.
- 594 Cole, M.A., Elliott, R.J., 2003. Determining the trade–environment composition effect: the role of  
595 capital, labor and environmental regulations. *Journal of Environmental Economics and*  
596 *Management* 46, 363–383.
- 597 Copeland, B.R., 2013. Trade and the Environment. In *Palgrave handbook of international trade* (pp.  
598 423-496). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- 599 Crane, W., Krausmann, F., Eisenmenger, N., Giljum, S., Henricke, P., Kemp, R., ... Sewerin, S. (2011).  
600 Decoupling Natural Resource Use and Environmental Impacts from Economic Growth.
- 601 Cushing, L., Morello-Frosch, R., Wander, M., Pastor, M., 2015. The haves, the have-nots, and the health  
602 of everyone: the relationship between social inequality and environmental quality. *Annual*  
603 *Review of Public Health* 36, 193–209.
- 604 Davis, L., Fuchs, A., & Gertler, P. (2014). Cash for Coolers: Evaluating a Large-Scale Appliance  
605 Replacement Program in Mexico. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 6(4), 207–  
606 238.
- 607 Dean, J.M., 2002. Does trade liberalization harm the environment? A new test. *Canadian Journal of*  
608 *Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique* 35, 819–842.
- 609 Dinda, S., Coondoo, D., 2006. Income and emission: a panel data-based cointegration analysis.  
610 *Ecological Economics* 57, 167–181.

- 611 Du, K., Li, P., and Yan, Z. (2019). Do green technology innovations contribute to carbon dioxide  
612 emission reduction? Empirical evidence from patent data. *Technological Forecasting and Social*  
613 *Change*, 146(April 2018), 297–303.
- 614 EC (2018). A Clean Planet for all A European long term strategic vision for a prosperous, modern,  
615 competitive and climate neutral economy, European Commission.
- 616 EC (2018). Une planète propre pour tous Une vision européenne stratégique à long terme pour une  
617 économie prospère, moderne, compétitive et neutre pour le climat. European Commission
- 618 Edwards, S. (1989). Debt crisis, trade liberalization, structural adjustment, and growth: some policy  
619 considerations. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 7(3), 30-41.
- 620 Erdoğan, S., Yıldırım, S., Yıldırım, D.Ç. and Gedikli, A., 2020. The effects of innovation on sectoral  
621 carbon emissions: Evidence from G20 countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 267.
- 622 Esteve, V., Tamarit, C., 2012. Threshold cointegration and nonlinear adjustment between CO<sub>2</sub> and  
623 income: the environmental Kuznets curve in Spain, 1857–2007. *Energy Economics* 34, 2148–  
624 2156.
- 625 Fethi, S. and Rahuma, A., 2019. The role of eco-innovation on CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction in an extended  
626 version of the environmental Kuznets curve: evidence from the top 20 refined oil exporting  
627 countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(29), pp.30145-30153.
- 628 Font, V., Kemp, R., Voet, E. van der, 2016. How to deal with the rebound effect? A policy-oriented  
629 approach. *Energy Policy* 94, 114–125.
- 630 Forslid, R., Okubo, T. and Ulltveit-Moe, K.H., 2018. Why are firms that export cleaner? International  
631 trade, abatement and environmental emissions. *Journal of Environmental Economics and*  
632 *Management*, 91, pp.166-183.
- 633 Frankel, J.A., Rose, A.K., 2005. Is trade good or bad for the environment? Sorting out the causality.  
634 *Review of economics and statistics* 87, 85–91.
- 635 Ganda, F., 2019. The impact of innovation and technology investments on carbon emissions in selected  
636 organisation for economic Co-operation and development countries. *Journal of cleaner*  
637 *production*, 217, pp.469-483.
- 638 Gozgor, G., 2017. Does trade matter for carbon emissions in OECD countries? Evidence from a new  
639 trade openness measure. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(36), pp.27813-  
640 27821.
- 641 Gozgor, G., 2018a. Determinants of the domestic credits in developing economies: The role of political  
642 risks. *Research in International Business and Finance* 46, 430–443.
- 643 Gozgor, G., 2018b. A new approach to the renewable energy-growth nexus: evidence from the USA.  
644 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25, 16590–16600.
- 645 Griliches, Z., 1990. Patent statistics as economic indicators: a survey part 2. NBER.
- 646 Grossman, G.M. and Krueger, A.B., 1991. *Environmental impacts of a North American free trade*  
647 *agreement* (No. w3914). National Bureau of economic research.
- 648 Grossman, G.M., Krueger, A.B., 1991. Environmental impacts of a North American free trade  
649 agreement. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- 650 Grunewald, N., Klasen, S., Martínez-Zarzoso, I., Muris, C., 2017. The trade-off between income  
651 inequality and carbon dioxide emissions. *Ecological Economics* 142, 249–256.

- 652 Hashmi, R. and Alam, K., 2019. Dynamic relationship among environmental regulation, innovation,  
653 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, population, and economic growth in OECD countries: A panel investigation.  
654 *Journal of cleaner production*, 231, pp.1100-1109.
- 655 Herring, H. and Sorrell, S., 2009. Energy efficiency and sustainable consumption. *The Rebound Effect*,  
656 *Hampshire*.
- 657 Hu, H., Xie, N., Fang, D., Zhang, X., 2018. The role of renewable energy consumption and commercial  
658 services trade in carbon dioxide reduction: Evidence from 25 developing countries. *Applied*  
659 *energy* 211, 1229–1244.
- 660 Hübler, M., 2017. The inequality-emissions nexus in the context of trade and development: a quantile  
661 regression approach. *Ecological Economics* 134, 174–185.
- 662 Im, K.S., Pesaran, M.H. and Shin, Y., 2003. Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of*  
663 *econometrics*, 115(1), pp.53-74.
- 664 Jaffe, A.B., Newell, R.G., Stavins, R.N., 2002. Environmental policy and technological change.  
665 *Environmental and resource economics* 22, 41–70.
- 666 Jevons, W.S., 1865. On the variation of prices and the value of the currency since 1782. *Journal of the*  
667 *Statistical Society of London*, 28(2), pp.294-320.
- 668 Jordaan, S.M., Romo-Rabago, E., McLeary, R., Reidy, L., Nazari, J. and Herremans, I.M., 2017. The  
669 role of energy technology innovation in reducing greenhouse gas emissions: A case study of  
670 Canada. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 78, pp.1397-1409.
- 671 Jorgenson, A., Schor, J., Huang, X., 2017. Income inequality and carbon emissions in the United States:  
672 a state-level analysis, 1997–2012. *Ecological Economics* 134, 40–48.
- 673 Kahia, M., Aïssa, M.S.B., Charfeddine, L., 2016. Impact of renewable and non-renewable energy  
674 consumption on economic growth: New evidence from the MENA Net Oil Exporting Countries  
675 (NOECs). *Energy* 116, 102–115.
- 676 Kasuga, H., Takaya, M., 2017. Does inequality affect environmental quality? Evidence from major  
677 Japanese cities. *Journal of cleaner production* 142, 3689–3701.
- 678 Kearsley, A., Riddell, M., 2010. A further inquiry into the Pollution Haven Hypothesis and the  
679 Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Ecological Economics* 69, 905–919.
- 680 Kellenberg, D.K., 2008. A reexamination of the role of income for the trade and environment debate.  
681 *Ecological Economics* 68, 106–115.
- 682 Kemp, R., Pearson, P., 2007. Final report MEI project about measuring eco-innovation. UM Merit,  
683 Maastricht 10, 2.
- 684 Kim, D.-H., Suen, Y.-B., Lin, S.-C., 2019. Carbon dioxide emissions and trade: Evidence from  
685 disaggregate trade data. *Energy Economics* 78, 13–28.
- 686 Kozul-Wright, R., Fortunato, P., 2012. International trade and carbon emissions. *The European Journal*  
687 *of Development Research* 24, 509–529.
- 688 Kuznets, S., 1955. Economic growth and income inequality. *The American economic review* 45, 1–28.
- 689 Lean, H.H., Smyth, R., 2010. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, electricity consumption and output in ASEAN. *Applied*  
690 *Energy* 87, 1858–1864.
- 691 Levin, A., Lin, C.F. and Chu, C.S.J., 2002. Unit root tests in panel data: asymptotic and finite-sample  
692 properties. *Journal of econometrics*, 108(1), pp.1-24.
- 693 Liu, J.-Y., and Feng, C., 2018. Marginal abatement costs of carbon dioxide emissions and its influencing  
694 factors: A global perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 170, 1433–1450.

- 695 Liu, X., Zhang, S., Bae, J., 2017. The impact of renewable energy and agriculture on carbon dioxide  
696 emissions: investigating the environmental Kuznets curve in four selected ASEAN countries.  
697 *Journal of Cleaner Production* 164, 1239–1247.
- 698 Mader, S., 2018. The nexus between social inequality and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions revisited: Challenging its  
699 empirical validity. *Environmental science & policy* 89, 322–329.
- 700 Magnani, E., 2000. The Environmental Kuznets Curve, environmental protection policy and income  
701 distribution. *Ecological economics* 32, 431–443.
- 702 Managi, S., Hibiki, A., Tsurumi, T., 2009. Does trade openness improve environmental quality? *Journal*  
703 *of environmental economics and management* 58, 346–363.
- 704 Nguyen, T.T., Pham, T.A.T. and Tram, H.T.X., 2020. Role of information and communication  
705 technologies and innovation in driving carbon emissions and economic growth in selected G-20  
706 countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 261, p.110162.
- 707 Omri, A. and Belaïd, F., 2020. Does renewable energy modulate the negative effect of environmental  
708 issues on the socio-economic welfare?. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 278, p.111483.
- 709 Pedroni, P., 2004. Panel cointegration: asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series  
710 tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. *Econometric theory*, pp.597-625.
- 711 Perman, R., Stern, D.I., 2003. Evidence from panel unit root and cointegration tests that the  
712 environmental Kuznets curve does not exist. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource*  
713 *Economics* 47, 325–347.
- 714 Pesaran, M.H., 2007. A simple panel unit root test in the presence of cross-section dependence. *Journal*  
715 *of applied econometrics*, 22(2), pp.265-312.
- 716 Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y. and Smith, R.J., 2001. Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level  
717 relationships. *Journal of applied econometrics*, 16(3), pp.289-326.
- 718 Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y., Smith, R.P., 1999. Pooled mean group estimation of dynamic heterogeneous  
719 panels. *Journal of the american statistical association* 94, 621–634.
- 720 Pesaran, M.H., Smith, R., 1995. Estimating long-run relationships from dynamic heterogeneous panels.  
721 *Journal of econometrics* 68, 79–113.
- 722 Piaggio, M., Padilla, E., Román, C., 2017. The long-term relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and  
723 economic activity in a small open economy: Uruguay 1882–2010. *Energy Economics* 65, 271–  
724 282.
- 725 Prakash, A., Potoski, M., 2006. Racing to the bottom? Trade, environmental governance, and ISO  
726 14001. *American journal of political science* 50, 350–364.
- 727 Ridzuan, S., 2019. Inequality and the environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal of cleaner production*, 228,  
728 pp.1472-1481.
- 729 Sorrell, S., 2007. The Rebound Effect: an assessment of the evidence for economy-wide energy savings  
730 from improved energy efficiency.
- 731 Sorrell, S., 2010. Energy, economic growth and environmental sustainability: Five propositions.  
732 *Sustainability*, 2(6), pp.1784-1809.
- 733 Stokey, N.L., 1998. Are there limits to growth?. *International economic review*, pp.1-31.
- 734 Su, H.N. and Moaniba, I.M., 2017. Does innovation respond to climate change? Empirical evidence  
735 from patents and greenhouse gas emissions. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 122,  
736 pp.49-62.

- 737 Thoenig, M. and Verdier, T., 2003. A theory of defensive skill-biased innovation and globalization.  
738 American Economic Review, 93(3), pp.709-728.
- 739 Tiba, S. and Belaid, F., 2020. The pollution concern in the era of globalization: Do the contribution of  
740 foreign direct investment and trade openness matter?. Energy Economics, p.104966.
- 741 Töbelmann, D., & Wendler, T. (2020). The impact of environmental innovation on carbon dioxide  
742 emissions. Journal of Cleaner Production, 244, 118787.
- 743 Turquet, P., 2015. La crise de la protection sociale en Europe (No. halshs-01140862).
- 744 UNEP. (2016). Global Material Flows and Resource Productivity. An Assessment Study of the UNEP  
745 International Resource Panel.
- 746 Vivanco, D.F., McDowall, W., Freire-González, J., Kemp, R. and van der Voet, E., 2016. The  
747 foundations of the environmental rebound effect and its contribution towards a general  
748 framework. *Ecological Economics*, 125, pp.60-69.
- 749 Wang, Q., Su, M., Li, R. and Ponce, P., 2019. The effects of energy prices, urbanization and economic  
750 growth on energy consumption per capita in 186 countries. Journal of cleaner production, 225,  
751 pp.1017-1032.
- 752 Weina, D., Gilli, M., Mazzanti, M. and Nicolli, F., 2016. Green inventions and greenhouse gas emission  
753 dynamics: a close examination of provincial Italian data. Environmental Economics and Policy  
754 Studies, 18(2), pp.247-263.
- 755 Wilkinson, R. and Pickett, K., 2010. The spirit level: Why equality is better for everyone. Penguin UK.
- 756 Yang, G., Sun, T., Wang, J., & Li, X. (2015). Modeling the nexus between carbon dioxide emissions  
757 and economic growth. Energy Policy, 86, 104-117.
- 758 Yii, K.J. and Geetha, C., 2017. The nexus between technology innovation and CO2 emissions in  
759 Malaysia: evidence from granger causality test. Energy Procedia, 105, pp.3118-3124.
- 760 Yu, Y. and Du, Y., 2019. Impact of technological innovation on CO2 emissions and emissions trend  
761 prediction on 'New Normal' economy in China. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 10(1), pp.152-  
762 161.
- 763 Zhang, N., Wang, B. and Liu, Z., 2016. Carbon emissions dynamics, efficiency gains, and technological  
764 innovation in China's industrial sectors. Energy, 99, pp.10-19.