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#### Abstract

We previously (Jacquier S. \& Gruy F., Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy \& Radiative Transfer, 109(2008) 789-810) reformulated the anomalous diffraction (AD) approximation to calculate the light scattering cross section of aggregates by introducing their chord length distribution. It was applied to several ordered aggregates. This new method is entitled ADr with the r for rapid because this one is at least one hundred times faster than the standard AD method. In this article, we are searching for an approximated expression for chord length distribution suitable all at once for ordered and disordered aggregates. The corresponding scattering cross section values are compared to the ones coming from the standard AD approximation.
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## 1. Introduction

Synthesis of inorganic material often leads to clusters of small particles. The successive steps of a precipitation are nucleation and growth of particles. When the particles reach a micronic size, growth stops and particles collide and aggregate. However, the particles are under the shear stress of the suspending medium. As a consequence, there is a competition between aggregation and break-up leading to aggregates with a little number of primary particles. The techniques planned to obtain information in real time on aggregates formation are the ones that use light-matter interaction. Turbidimetry is one of them. It is based on the measurement of the light intensity attenuation due to light scattering. Nevertheless, this kind of sensor does not allow, for the moment, to characterize aggregates by their shape, the number of primary particles that they contain their chemical nature. In fact, the analysis of the turbidity uses the Mie theory that is only suitable for spherical particle. However, there is an extension of the Mie theory to the case of aggregate: Kahnert [1] sums up some of them, one is entitled GMM (Generalized Multi-particle Mie solution) developed by Xu in 1995 ([2] for more information see the references therein). However, Xu's computer codes, making possible the calculation of the optical characteristics of aggregates, need consequent computing times. This limits the use of GMM theory because spectral turbidity analysis needs the knowledge of the scattering cross section of numerous different aggregates. Thus, in [3], we compared the exact method (GMM) against several approximated methods allowing the fast computation of the scattering cross section. Thereafter we [4] presented the improvement of one of these approximated

[^0]method named rapid Anomalous Diffraction (ADr). This approximation makes easier the calculation of the scattering cross section for an aggregate consisting in optically soft primary particle whose size parameter is higher than 2 . ADr was established for ordered aggregates but the ordered structure can support constructive or destructive interferences like a grating do. The topic of this paper is to extend the ADr approximation to the case of the random aggregates.
The background on AD and ADr approximations will be reminded in the section 2. Then, ADr approximation will be tested for random aggregates and an improved version will be proposed in the section 3 . This section will be followed by conclusions.

## 2. Background on Anomalous Diffraction

The Anomalous Diffraction approximation is used to calculate the scattering cross section of large particles consisting in soft material. We consider an aggregate composed of $N$ identical primary spherical particles. The radius and the dimensionless size parameter of the primary particles are respectively denoted $r$ and $x=2 \pi r / \lambda$ ( $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the incident light in the medium). Then, scattering cross sections depend on: the size of the primary particles, morphology of the aggregates, and relative refractive index. The relative refractive index $(m)$ is the ratio between the material refractive index $\left(n_{p}\right)$ and the refractive index of the medium $\left(n_{m}\right)$.

## 2.1. $A D r$ Formulation

Calculations based on anomalous diffraction approximation are time-consuming. Attempts were made to reduce the computation time [5]. As the chord length $l$ appears in AD approximation, it is obvious that the scattering cross section contains morphological parameters such as the Chord Length Distribution (CLD) and the projected area. Then, one can show that the scattering cross sections averaged over all orientations can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<C_{N}^{r}\right\rangle=2 r^{2}<S_{p}>\int_{0}^{l_{\max }}(1-\cos x l(m-1)) D(l) d l \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projected area and the chord length are made dimensionless in Eq. 1 by dividing the dimensional area and length by, respectively $r^{2}$ and $r$.
The benefit of this equation lies in the two quantities: the projected area $\left\langle S_{p}\right\rangle$ averaged over all orientations and the chord length distribution $D(l)$. The two quantities are only depending on the morphology of the aggregate and not on the orientation. This modification is called ADr, because the computational time is much shorter than the one of standard AD method.

CLD is presented as follows: $D(l) d l$ represents the number fraction of the chord length in the range $[l, l+d l]$. Thus, $D(l)$ obeys the normalization equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{l_{\max }} D(l) d l=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$l_{\max }$ is the maximum chord length of an aggregate.

### 2.2. Analytical evaluation of $C L D$

With the aim of obtaining an analytical CLD, it is necessary to study the CLD got by a simulation using the algorithm presented in [4]. Figure 1 presents the CLD for a compact aggregate formed by 16 primary particles. The simulated CLD, as shown in [4], contains three contributions. The corresponding decomposition can be generalized to other aggregates.


Fig. 1: The three sub-distributions represent a part of the total chord length distribution for aggregates. Case of an aggregate with 16 primary particles in compact configuration

### 2.2.1. CLD formulation

The aggregate chord length distribution will be written as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(l)=D a(l)+D b(l)+D c(l) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The aggregate chord length distribution was divided into three sub-distributions corresponding to:

- the elementary structure (a sphere), for the chord lengths in the $l$-range from [0;2]:
$D a(l)=x_{1} D_{1}(l)$
with

$$
D_{1}(l)=l / 2
$$

- the two-sphere aggregate characterized by $\mathrm{D}_{2}(l)$, with a chord length range $[2 ; 4]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D b(l)=x_{2} \frac{D_{2}(l \in[2,4])}{\int_{2}^{4} D_{2}(l) d l} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the chord length distribution for a two-sphere aggregate is analytically available [4, 6]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2}(l \in[2,4])= & \frac{1}{16\left(1+\frac{8}{3 \pi}\right)} \\
& {\left[l \frac{16-l^{2}}{16+l^{2}}+\frac{3 l^{2}-16}{8}\left(\arcsin \left(\left(1+\frac{l^{2}}{16}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)-\arcsin \left(\frac{l}{4}\left(1+\frac{l^{2}}{16}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

- the equivalent object for aggregate, characterized by the last sub-distribution lying in the $l$-range $\left[4 ; l_{\max }\right]$ where $l_{\max }$ is the diameter of the volume equivalent sphere $\left(l_{\text {max }}=2 N^{1 / 3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D c(l)=x_{3} \frac{D_{3}\left(l \in\left[4, l_{\max }\right]\right)}{\int_{4}^{l_{\max }} D_{3}(l) d l} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{3}(l)$ corresponds to the reversed chord length distribution of the equivalent sphere in volume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{3}(l)=\frac{2}{l_{\max }^{2}}\left(l_{\max }-l\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each one of these sub-distributions represents a part of the total chord length distribution (e.g. Figure 1); their mutual contributions are peculiar to each aggregate. The values $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ of the normalized integral $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=1\right)$ are the weighted values of each sub-distribution for an aggregate.

Then, ADr method can be analytically performed if $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ are expressed.

### 2.2.2. Previous relations for $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $\left\langle S_{p}\right\rangle$

We previously were interested by a set of ordered aggregates and we expressed $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ according to parameters that characterize the aggregate morphology: the number $N$ of primary particles and the morphological parameter $d_{1}$ that is defined (Eq. 10) as the average distance between primary particle centres in the aggregate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=\frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i, j} d_{i, j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d_{i, j}$ is the centre-centre distance between i and j particles. $d_{i, j}$ is dimensionless.
The expressions for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $<\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}>$ lead to a scattering cross section value in agreement with the one coming from the exact method. In fact, for ordered aggregate the error is smaller than $15 \%$.

## 3. Morphological Parameters for disordered aggregates

### 3.1. Introduction

New routes of particle synthesis lead to monodisperse suspensions consisting in monosized spherical particles. The possible aggregates are composed of a few monosized primary
particles. Depending on the locus of the aggregation process, aggregates with different spatial extent are observed. For instance, 1D, 2D and 3D aggregates are, respectively produced:

- in a channel into a microfluidics device or along the streamline in a laminar flow
- on a surface or an interface
- in a stirred chemical reactor.

The space dimension $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{E}}$ of the aggregation locus is an important parameter.
Aggregation mainly leads to random aggregates. There is an extensive literature dedicated to random aggregation. Most of investigators consider computer simulations for building random aggregates from simple collision mechanisms. Among these models let us quote [7]: the Ballistic Particle-Cluster Aggregate model (BPCA), the Ballistic Cluster-Cluster Aggregate model (BCCA), the Diffusion Limited Aggregation model (DLA), the Reaction Limited Aggregation (RLA). At each mechanism and space dimension corresponds a fractal dimension of the so-built aggregates. Later on, more sophisticated models were developed (see, for instance, [8]). These models may represent some experimental observations as soot agglomerates formation in combustion processes [9, 10] or silica and titania agglomerate formation by flame aerosol processes [11]. However, it is not always the case. For instance, in industrial chemical reactors the produced aggregates contain a few primary particles (less than one hundred) and their morphology cannot be characterized by a fractal dimension. This results from a more complex formation mechanism (see, for instance [12]) than the abovementioned ones. As the aim of this work is the search of expressions for scattering cross section of any aggregate without reference to their formation mechanism, fractal-like aggregates will not be particularly considered.

### 3.2. Description

In this article, an aggregate is constituted by identical spherical primary particles. The disordered (random) aggregates are constructed according to the process of particle-cluster aggregation but with no condition on fractal dimension. Each new particle is added to one particle belonging to the aggregate either by a completely randomly way (procedure $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ ) or randomly by checking the angle between triplets equal to $90^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$ (procedure P ). The added particle will be in touch with other ones in aggregate.
The two procedures are as follows:

- step 0: The space dimension $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{E}}$ of the aggregate is fixed among the values (linear: $d_{E}=1$, plane: $d_{E}=2$, compact: $d_{E}=3$ ). The number $N$ of primary particles in aggregate is chosen ( $N>2$ ). An integer $n$ (which will be the maximum co-ordination number for a primary particle in the built aggregate) is chosen.
- step $1:$ a primary particle denoted A in aggregate is selected at random ; the number $n_{A}$ of its linked neighbours (co-ordination number) is determined
- step 2 (procedure P ) : if $n_{A}$ is smaller than $n$, then a new primary particle B will be in touch with the previous primary particle A . if not, return to step 1 . With procedure $\mathrm{P}, n$ is strictly smaller than 7 .
- step 2' (procedure P') : if $n_{A}$ is smaller than $n$, then a new primary particle B will be in touch with the previous primary particle A . if $n_{A}$ is equal to $n$ or greater than $n$ or if the particle B overlaps another primary particle in aggregate, do not link the particle B and go to step 1.

Table 1 (first column) contains few examples among the 90 aggregates used in this study. The full random process (procedure $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ ) may lead to "compact" aggregates (see figures e,h,k in Table 1). For getting a loose aggregate, it is necessary to limit the number of nearest neighbours of a given particle into the aggregate (see figures $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ in Table 1).

Table 1: Examples of disordered aggregates with their geometrical characteristics ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{d}_{1} / 2,\left\langle\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ )

|  | Random aggregate | N | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1} / 2$ | < $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$ > | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a |  | 8 | 2 | 1,93 | 2,53 | 0,82 | 0,16 | 0,02 |
| b |  | 8 | 3 | 1,81 | 2,54 | 0,81 | 0,18 | 0,01 |
| c | $0^{+\infty} 0^{0+0}$ | 16 | 1 | 11,8 | 3,89 | 0,948 | 0,05 | 0,002 |
| d |  | 16 | 2 | 2,46 | 3,46 | 0,8 | 0,17 | 0,03 |
| e |  | 32 | 3 | 2,57 | 4,39 | 0,593 | 0,31 | 0,097 |
| f |  | 64 | 2 | 9,1 | 7,2 | 0,84 | 0,14 | 0,02 |
| g |  | 64 | 3 | 7,39 | 6,9 | 0,76 | 0,2 | 0,04 |
| h |  | 64 | 3 | 3,32 | 5,76 | 0,52 | 0,32 | 0,16 |


| i |  | 100 | 2 | 23 | 9,03 | 0,84 | 0,14 | 0,02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| j |  | 100 | 3 | 11,6 | 8,65 | 0,77 | 0,195 | 0,035 |
| k |  | 100 | 3 | 3,7 | 6,8 | 0,45 | 0,3 | 0,25 |

### 3.3. Results

In this section, the new disordered aggregates depicted above are used to evaluate the accuracy of the ADr method. Firstly the relations previously proposed for ordered aggregates will be tested, then the scattering cross section obtained with ADr method will be compared to the one calculated with AD.

### 3.3.1. Expressions for $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $\langle S p>$

The chord length distribution of an aggregate is obtained by the use of an algorithm introduced in [4] from a $10^{6}$ chords set for a given orientation. 300 arbitrary aggregate orientations of a given aggregate were performed. Then, $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ are deduced from the averaged CLD. At the same time, $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ was obtained as the averaged projected area over 300 aggregate orientations. These $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ values will be called in the continuation of the text as simulated values. Table 1 collects these values for several disordered aggregates.
Given the number $N$ of primary particles, the space dimension $d_{E}$ and the maximum coordination number $n$ of a primary particle in the aggregate, a set of aggregates are built. Then, $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ are calculated for each aggregate. The mean value $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma_{p}$ of $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ were estimated for this set of aggregates. This represents the fluctuation amplitude $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$ due to the weak description of aggregates ( $N, d_{E}$ and $n$ ). The procedure is performed for different number [4;100] of primary particles, space dimension
$[1 ; 3]$ and co-ordination number [2;6]. Table 2 contains the maximum value of the standard deviation $\sigma_{p}$ (for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ ) and of the standard deviation $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ over the mean value $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (for $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ ). This two statistical parameters represent $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Table 2: fluctuation amplitude $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$ for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$

|  | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\left\langle\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}}>\right.$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,001 | 0,01 |

The small observed amplitude allows us for asserting the existence of a relation between ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}$, $\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ ) and ( $N, d_{E}$ and $n$ ). However, we prefer to link ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ ) to ( $N, d_{E}$ and $\left.d_{l}\right)$ as $d_{l}$ may represent the aggregate size.
Analytical expressions for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3},<\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}>$ in [4] were obtained from the study of 17 different ordered aggregates. The analytical expressions are summarized in Table 3. In these expressions, appear several morphological parameters depending on $N$ and $d_{l}$ :

- $N /\left(d_{1} / 2\right)^{3}$ characterizes the compactness of the aggregate; one also defines a compactness index $C I=3+\log \left((N-1) / 5\left(d_{1} / 2\right)^{3}\right)$
- $\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}-1\right)$ shows that only large aggregates have high $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ values.

Table 3: Analytical expressions obtained for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ in [4], $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are the mean standard deviation between the analytical values and respectively the ones obtained by simulation for the 17 aggregates chosen in [4] and the aggregates used in this article (§ 3.2). Asterisk is the mean absolute difference.

|  | Analytical expressions [4] | $\varepsilon_{1}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $\varepsilon_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}_{3}$ | $x_{3}=0.0031 \beta^{2}+0.0182 \beta$ with $\beta=\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{N}{\left(d_{1} / 2\right)^{3}}\right)^{1.2}(11)$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ |  |
| Intermediate <br> step $: u=x_{2} / x_{1}$ | $u=0.96\left(\frac{1}{320} \exp \left(\left(d_{E}+C I\right)\left(1-N^{-1}\right)\right)+0.05\right)$ | $(12)$ | 18 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ | $x_{2}=\left(1-x_{3}\right) u /(1+u)$ | $(13)$ | 13 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{x} 1$ | $x_{1}=1-x_{2}-x_{3}$ | $(14)$ | 6 | 11 |
| $\langle\mathbf{S p}>$ | $<S_{p}>=\pi R_{p}{ }^{2} \quad R_{p}=\sqrt{1.25}\left(\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}\right)^{1 / 3} N^{1 / 2}\right)^{5 / 8}$ | $(15)$ | 8 | 17 |

Then, the relations for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ summarized in Table 3 were tested with all the new disordered aggregates. Table 3 contains $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ values. $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are the mean standard deviations between the analytical values and, respectively the simulated ones for the 17 ordered aggregates [4] and for the disordered aggregates used in this article. The larger number of disordered aggregates should reduce the mean standard deviation. Figures 2,3 and 4 represent, respectively $\mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{2} / \mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ values against morphological parameters. One observes that disordered aggregates basically behave as ordered aggregates. However, the representation of $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ can be improved.

Figure 2 shows a good agreement between $x_{3}$ values and approximation (Eq. (11)) for ordered and disordered aggregates but the agreement is less good from Figures 3 and 4 where there is some discrepancy. Figure 3 permits to compare $x_{2} / x_{1}$ and equations $12-14$, while Figure 4 shows the difference between $\left\langle S_{p}\right\rangle$ value and equation 15 . The sequence of $x_{2} / x_{1}$ values (Figure 3) shows that the equations 12-14 cannot be applied to disordered aggregates. The $\mathrm{x}_{2} / \mathrm{x}_{1}$ values sequence presents a local maximum around $\left(d_{E}+C I\right)\left(1-N^{-1}\right) \square 4$, i.e. for aggregates with $d_{E}=2$. The not monotonicity of the function indicates that $\left(d_{E}+C I\right)\left(1-N^{-1}\right)$ is not a so relevant single variable.


Fig. 2: Evaluation of $x_{3}$ according to the equation (11) (square for all aggregate set, triangular for ordered aggregates)


Fig. 3: Evaluation of $\mathrm{x}_{2} / \mathrm{x}_{1}$ according to the equations (12-14) (square for all aggregate set, triangular for ordered aggregates)


Figure 4: Evaluation of $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ according to the equation (15) (square for all aggregate set, triangular for ordered aggregates)

We suggest a new expressions set to evaluate $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ (Table 4). These empirical expressions are simpler and more efficient. $\varepsilon_{3}$ is the mean standard deviation between the analytical values and the ones obtained by simulation.

Table 4: New analytical expressions obtained for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ and $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle, \varepsilon_{3}$ are the mean standard deviation between the analytical values and the ones got by simulation.

|  | Analytical expressions |  | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{(})}$ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x} 3$ |  | $(11)$ | $1^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{2}$ | $x_{2}=-0.12 d_{E} \ln \left(\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{1}{N^{1 / 4}}\right)+0.08$ | $(16)$ | 12 |
| $\mathbf{x} 1$ |  | $(14)$ | 3.7 |
| $\langle\mathbf{S p}\rangle$ | $\left\langle S_{p}\right\rangle=\pi R_{p}{ }^{2}$ with $R_{p}=\left(\frac{d_{1}}{2}\right)^{1 / 5} N^{1 / 3} d_{E}^{1 / 8}$ | $(18)$ | 9 |

Concerning the standard deviation values, it is preferable to use equations 11,14 and 16 in place of expressions included in Table 3. However, we still recommend the use of equations in table 3 for ordered aggregates because the corresponding standard deviations are reduced by one third compared to those coming from equations (11, 14, 16 and 18). Figure 5 represents the $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ values obtained by simulation and with equation (16); the points furthest away from the approximation correspond to high compactness aggregates with a symmetry centre.


Fig. 5: Evaluation of $x_{2}$ according to the equation 16 (square for all aggregate set, triangular for ordered aggregates)

Figure 6 presents the $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ values obtained by simulation and with equation (18). The corresponding error on $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ is reduced to $9 \%$. The points, which are the farthest from the curve describing the equation (18) correspond to the 1D non-continuous aggregates consisting in 4 or 8 primary spherical particles. The error on $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ is reduced to $7.2 \%$ if these aggregates are not considered. Thus, the expression (18) is satisfactory for physical aggregates.


Fig. 6: Evaluation of $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ according to the equation 18 (square for all aggregate set, triangular for ordered aggregates)

The use of expressions (18), (14), (16) and (11), respectively for $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ leads to having an analytical equation for the aggregates CLD.

### 3.3.2. Aggregate scattering cross section with ADr method

We studied aggregates with $N$ primary particles in the range [2,100]. The size parameter $x$ of primary particles is in the range [2; 9.25]. For each N -aggregate, several morphologies or compactness were considered. The chosen material is silica $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. It is considered nonabsorbent, i.e. the imaginary part of the relative refractive index $m$ is equal to zero $(\operatorname{Im}(m)=0)$. The relative refractive index of silica in water is taken equal to 1.08 .

In order to compare the approximated methods ( AD and ADr ), the R parameter, which is defined as the ratio of the aggregate scattering cross section obtained with the ADr method and AD method, was evaluated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{C_{A D r, N}}{C_{A D, N}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The table 5 compares ADr to AD methods, by the mean value of $R$ (over $500 x$-values) and the relative standard deviation $(\sigma)$ between ADr and AD .
The results for three silica aggregate space dimensions $d_{E}$, are presented below.
Table 5 : Comparison between AD and ADr methods for the primary particle size parameter range $x \in[2,10]$ and different space dimensions $d_{E}$.

|  | $d_{E}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R$ | mean | 1.15 | 1.03 | 0.95 |
|  | $\sigma$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 |

The mean value of R is close to 1 no matter what the space dimension. In the case of 1 D space dimension an error is introduced by the presence of not connected primary particles in certain aggregates (see Table 1, c) : however, such configuration has no physical meaning for aggregation. The deviation between AD and ADr methods seems to be smaller than $4 \%$.

## 4. Conclusion

ADr is an efficient method making it possible to quickly calculate the scattering cross section of aggregates consisting in optically soft spheres. This method needs the chord length distribution, which can be written as a linear combination of elementary functions. Use of ADr requires the knowledge of the mean projected area $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ and the weighing coefficients $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$. The expressions previously established for $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ in the case of ordered aggregates were tested in the disordered aggregates case ( 90 tested aggregates). These expressions are suitable for disordered aggregates, but the agreement between exact and calculated values is not so good. This suggests new expressions ( $\mathrm{x}_{2},\left\langle\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ ) and leads to an improved method by determining firstly $\mathrm{x}_{3}$, then $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ and finally $\mathrm{x}_{1}$.

In the future, the equations for $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ will be tested for the case of fractal-like aggregates.

All the data can be accessible by sending a request to the authors
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