



HAL
open science

Mechanisms of Hydrate Blockage in Oil-water Dispersions Based on Flow Loop Experiments

Vinicius R. de Almeida, Eric Serris, Gianluca Lavallo, Ana Cameirão, Jean-Michel Herri, Emilie Abadie, Nicolas Lesage, Annie Fidel-Dufour

► **To cite this version:**

Vinicius R. de Almeida, Eric Serris, Gianluca Lavallo, Ana Cameirão, Jean-Michel Herri, et al.. Mechanisms of Hydrate Blockage in Oil-water Dispersions Based on Flow Loop Experiments. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2023, 273, pp.118632. 10.1016/j.ces.2023.118632 . emse-04032496

HAL Id: emse-04032496

<https://hal-emse.ccsd.cnrs.fr/emse-04032496v1>

Submitted on 24 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mechanisms of Hydrate Blockage in Oil-water Dispersions Based on Flow Loop Experiments

Vinicius De Almeida^{1,*}, Eric Serris¹, Gianluca Lavallo¹, Ana Cameirão¹, Jean-Michel Herri¹, Emilie Abadie², Nicolas Lesage², Annie Fidel Dufour²

¹Mines Saint-Etienne, Univ Lyon, CNRS, UMR 5307 LGF, Centre SPIN, F – 42023 Saint-Etienne, France

²TotalEnergies, CSTJF, Avenue Larribau, Pau Cédex 64018, France

*Corresponding author: vinicius.de-almeida@emse.fr (V. De Almeida)

Abstract: Hydrate formation poses a threat to the safety of petroleum transport in flow lines due to the risk of plugging. In this work, conceptual mechanisms of hydrate formation and plugging in oil-water systems are proposed, based on flow loop tests at 30%, 50% and 80% water cut (water fraction). The experiments were conducted using Kerdane oil, water (with 30 g/L of NaCl) and natural gas at 75 bar and 4 °C. The employment of several instruments, such as acoustic emission, permittivity, and flowmeter, to cite a few, allowed identifying the different flow patterns until hydrate blockage and detecting the phase that carries the hydrates. A model based on density measurement is proposed to calculate the fraction of hydrates flowing locally. It was observed that there are local accumulations of most of the hydrates in a small portion of the flowing volume, which, together with deposition, ends up leading to plugging.

Keywords: flow assurance, petroleum flowlines, hydrate formation, mechanisms of plugging, flow loop experiments, oil-water dispersions.

1 Introduction

The operational safety and economical regards involving multiphase flow transport in petroleum flowlines are studied in an engineering analysis process called flow assurance. Flow assurance's most important issues are the appearance and deposition of solids in deepwater long-distance flow lines due to the high pressures and low temperatures (Olajire, 2020). In case of pipeline blockage, the operation must be interrupted for remediation, risking the occurrence of accidents and financial losses. Among the flow assurance issues, hydrate problems might be the most significant challenge nowadays (Cardoso et al., 2015; Jamaluddin et al., 1991; Sloan and Koh, 2008).

Gas hydrates are crystalline compounds formed from a network of hydrogen-bonded water molecules entrapping light natural gas molecules (Englezos, 1993; Khurana et al., 2017). These crystals are studied in different applications, including, for example, their use as an energy source (Shaibu et al., 2021). In the oil and gas industry, hydrates form when the undesired water content in flow lines is in interaction with

31 gas under high pressure and low temperature (Sloan, 2003; Sum et al., 2009). Gas hydrates have a dual
32 effect on multiphase flow: (i) they change the characteristics of the slurry flow and the flow pattern
33 (Delahaye et al., 2008; Ding et al., 2016); (ii) the particles may agglomerate or form deposits (Bassani et
34 al., 2017; Chen et al., 2014; Stoner and Koh, 2021; Straume et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020) due to the
35 capillary forces (Colombel et al., 2009), which affect the rheological properties (Chen et al., 2022; Ding
36 et al., 2019; Fidel-Dufour et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2020; Pham et al., 2020; Siquin et al., 2004; Song et al.,
37 2019), possibly leading to the onset of plugging.

38 The formation of gas hydrates in oil-water systems has been the object of many research. The first
39 studies proposed that hydrates form a shell around water droplets in water-in-oil systems with an inward
40 growth (Melchuna et al., 2016; Sloan et al., 2011; Turner, 2005), or a shell around oil droplets in oil-in-
41 water systems that has an outward growth (Melchuna et al., 2016; Sloan et al., 2011). The models assume
42 that hydrate formation occurs at the oil-water interfaces, as the gas is mainly dissolved in the oil phase.
43 As a result, for the shell approach, hydrates always entrap the dispersed phase. As an alternative to the
44 shell approach, a “sponge approach” has been recently proposed, where hydrates are porous structures
45 that entrap only the water phase (Bassani et al., 2019). While most of the data available in the literature
46 are limited to oil continuous systems, there is an increasing demand for water continuous test cases.
47 Indeed, in order to maintain a petroleum reservoir explorable, the pressure must be kept constant, and this
48 is done by means of continuous water injection.

49 A unified hydrate formation flow model for different flow characteristics (oil-dominated, gas-
50 dominated, gas condensate, and high water cut system) does not exist. Identifying which phase, oil or
51 water, transports hydrates at different conditions could help to better understand hydrate blockage. The
52 literature has not yet reported a methodology that allows this analysis. Although agglomeration and
53 deposition have commonly been considered the dominant mechanisms of plugging, it is possible that
54 changes in the multiphase flow due to hydrate formation may play an important role in hydrate blockage
55 risk. While solid agglomeration and multiphase flow are often studied separately, an analysis combining
56 these two is necessary for addressing this costly flow assurance problem in offshore petroleum
57 exploration.

58 In this sense, the objective of this work is to present new insights about the two-way coupling between
59 flow regime and hydrate formation. This paper aims to answer the following questions: (i) How do
60 different oil-water systems affect hydrate formation? (ii) How do hydrates act on the flow pattern and on
61 the flow characteristics? (iii) How do hydrate plugs form? To answer these questions, flow loop

62 experiments were conducted to study the different stages of hydrate slurry formation and plugging at low,
63 intermediate, and high water cuts. The facility is equipped with a unique combination of instruments that
64 allows detecting the continuous phase, tracking hydrate particles, and detect which phase carries the
65 hydrates. The impact of hydrate formation on multiphase flow and the main cause of plugging for each
66 scenario are discussed. An extensive analysis is carried out in order to identify the flow regime at each
67 different stage of the tests. A simplified model using the density measured by a flowmeter is proposed to
68 calculate the local accumulations of hydrates in the flow. Finally, based on this model, a methodology is
69 proposed to classify the different tests according to their heterogeneity in hydrate distribution along the
70 pipe.

71 **2 Experimental methodology**

72 2.1 Flow loop description

73 The Archimede flow loop, illustrated in Figure 1, consists of a pipeline that is approximately 56 meters
74 long, divided into three sections: a vertical downward section, a slightly inclined or horizontal section,
75 and a vertical upward section. The vertical sections have a pipe internal diameter of 15.7 mm, while the
76 slightly inclined or horizontal section has a pipe internal diameter of 10.2 mm. The temperature is
77 controlled by a cooling system composed of two circulating baths (one for the horizontal section and the
78 other for the vertical sections), which send a refrigerated fluid (mixture of water and ethanol) to the heat
79 exchanger pipes. In order to limit heat exchange with the room, the entire flow loop apparatus is jacketed
80 with an insulating material. A Moineau pump, which allows a flow rate of up to 500 L/h, provides the
81 power for the circulation of the liquid in the pipeline.

82 The flow loop is equipped with several probes, listed in Table 1, that measure temperature, absolute
83 pressure, pressure drops, the gas flow rate injected by an automatic gas compensation system, density, and
84 flow rate (monitored by means of a Coriolis flowmeter). Furthermore, the apparatus is equipped with a
85 high-speed camera (HSC), a Particle Vision Microscope (PVM), a Focused Beam Reflectance
86 Measurement (FBRM), an acoustic emission technology system (AE) and a permittivity probe.

87 Table 1. Probes installed in the Archimede flow loop, with their notations and features.

Instrument	Notation / Measurements	Feature
-------------------	------------------------------------	----------------

Temperature probes	T_1-T_{10}	Nine equally distributed sensors along the loop and one additional for room temperature. Measurements in °C every 1.0 s.
Pressure probe	p_{abs}	Absolute pressure of the gas in the separator. Measurements in bar every 1.0 s.
Pressure drops probes	$\Delta p_1, \Delta p_2, \Delta p_4, \Delta p_h$	Pressure drops at the downward and upward vertical sections (Δp_1 and Δp_2 , in bar), gravitation pressure drop on the right vertical pipe of the separator (Δp_4 , in mbar), and horizontal section (Δp_h , in bar). Measurements every 1.0 s.
Coriolis flowmeter (density and flow rate)	ρ, Q	Measures the density (in kg/m^3) and the flow rate (in L/h) at the downward section. Measurements every 1.0 s.
Gas injection	p_c, Q_G	Automatic gas compensation system with an absolute pressure and a gas flow meter probe. Measurements in NL/min every 1.0 s.
Acoustic emission	AE	Measures the absolute energy (in aJ) every 0.5 s. using 4 or 6 sensors, as shown in Figure 1, identified as 1A to 4A (in blue) or from 1B to 6B (in red).
Focused beam reflectance measurement	FBRM	Measures chord counts (per second) in a 1-1000 μm range every 5.0 s.
Particle vision measurement	PVM	Microscope coupled with a camera that takes pictures of the flow at a resolution of 1050 x 825 μm every 5.0 s.
Permittivity probe	ϵ_r	It measures the relative permittivity near the wall every 3 to 6 s.
High-speed camera	HSC	Monochromatic camera installed in front of a 52 x 12 mm visualization window. Up to 1GB/s or 211 fps at a maximum resolution of 2560 x 2048 pixels.




Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the Archimède flow loop.

89

90

91 2.2 Experimental conditions and protocol

92 The experiments are conducted with oil, saline water and natural gas. Table 2 specifies the physical
 93 properties of the fluids at room pressure and temperature, while Table 3 provides the composition of the
 94 natural gas. Table 4 specifies the control parameters according to their variation range. Each experimental
 95 condition, defined by a combination of water cut and flow rate, was repeated at least once.

96

Table 2. Fluids used for the experiments and their properties.

Fluid	Description	Fluid properties
Salted water	30 g of NaCl per L of deionized water.	Density: 1023.8 g/L Viscosity: 0.0026 Pa.s
Kerdane oil	Hydrocarbons, C11-C14, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics.	Density: 815 g/L Viscosity: 0.0017 Pa.s

97

98

Table 3. Composition of the natural gas.

Gas	%mol
Methane	91.7
Ethane	5.9
Propane	0.6
Carbon dioxide	0.8
Nitrogen	0.8
i-butane	0.1
n-butane	0.1

99

100

Table 4. Control parameters for the experiments.

Parameter	Values
Flow rate	200 L/h, 400 L/h
Water cut	30%, 50%, 80%

101

102 The experimental protocol, illustrated in Figure 2, can be summarized in four main steps:

103

i. Controlling the flow rate at 200 or 400 L/h.

104


ii. Cooling down the temperature to a minimum of 4 °C.

105

iii. Fast pressurizing to 75 bar.

106

iv. Maintaining the pressure constant by means of the gas compensation system.



107
108

Figure 2. Experimental protocol.

109 During the last step (iv), the injected gas flow rate is measured in order to calculate the amount of gas
110 consumed for hydrate formation. Some intermediate steps (flow rate control to 100 L/h, temperature to 8
111 °C and absolute pressure to 8 bar) are applied in order to reduce the influence of room temperature on the
112 results during emulsification, as shown in Figure 2.

113 2.3 Data synchronization

114 Due to the many instruments that are installed in the flow loop, where each one of them is placed in a
115 different position, a methodology to synchronize the data was developed. In order to compare the same
116 portion of the flow when it passes in front of two different instruments, the time required for the flow to
117 move from one of the instruments to the other is calculated. This calculation is a function of the volume
118 between the instruments and the flow rate measured by the Coriolis flowmeter. When this time correction
119 is applied, an indication is given on the x-axis of the graphs (see, for instance, Figure 5). The procedure
120 applied for this paper consisted of fixing the flowmeter as a reference and then correcting the time data
121 set of the other instruments in a way to synchronize them with the time data sets obtained with the
122 flowmeter.

123 3 Methodology to calculate the hydrate volume

124 With the aim to determine the hydrate fraction and the water conversion as a function of time, a model
125 based on mass and volume balances is proposed. The model has the following assumptions:

- 126 • The mass of gas dissolved in the oil phase is constant after the onset of hydrate formation.

- 127 • The fraction of each gas component being consumed for hydrate formation is proportional to its
128 mole fraction in the free gas.
- 129 • The hydrate density is constant and equal to 910 g/L, which is approximately the density of
130 methane hydrates (Sloan and Koh, 2008).
- 131 • The hydration number, that is, the ratio between the number of water molecules and gas molecules
132 consumed for hydrate formation, is constant and equal to 6.0.

133 The mass balance, considering the mass of free gas (m_G), the mass of free water (m_W) and the mass
134 of hydrates (m_H), is given by the following equation:

$$m_G + m_W + m_H = m_G^0 + m_W^0 + m_{G,inj} \quad (1)$$

135 where the superscript 0 corresponds to the instant of onset of hydrate formation. The term $m_{G,inj}$ is the
136 mass of gas injected by the gas compensation system since the onset of hydrate formation. The volume
137 balance between gas volume (V_G), water volume (V_W) and hydrate volume (V_H) is represented by the
138 following equation:

$$V_G + V_W + V_H = V_G^0 + V_W^0 \quad (2)$$

139 One should notice that the oil phase and the dissolved gas were not considered in equations (1) and
140 (2). This is because the oil mass is constant over time, and the amount of dissolved gas is assumed to be
141 constant after the onset of hydrate formation. The gas density can be calculated from the pressure,
142 temperature and composition of the gas using the Soave–Redlich–Kwong equation of state, and can be
143 used to relate the mass and volume of the gas:

$$\rho_G(p, T) = \frac{m_G}{V_G} \quad (3)$$

144 As previously mentioned, hydrate density is assumed to remain constant at 910 g/L, and it is the ratio
145 between hydrate's mass and volume:

$$\rho_H = \frac{m_H}{V_H} \quad (4)$$

146 The water density is also constant and given by:

$$\rho_W = \frac{m_W}{V_W} \quad (5)$$

147 Finally, the hydration number is:

$$N_h = \frac{(m_W^0 - m_W) / M_W}{(m_G^0 + m_{G,inj} - m_G) / M_G} \quad (6)$$

148 where M_W is the water molar mass and M_G is the gas molar mass. Substituting the equations (3)-(5) into
 149 equation (1), and then combining them with equations (2) and (6), we obtain the following system of
 150 equations, where V_W , V_G and V_H are the unknowns to be determined:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_W & \rho_G & \rho_H \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{\rho_W}{M_W} & -\frac{N_h \rho_G}{M_G} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_W \\ V_G \\ V_H \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_G^0 + m_{G,inj} + m_W^0 \\ V_G^0 + V_W^0 \\ \frac{m_W^0}{M_W} - N_h \left(\frac{m_G^0 + m_{G,inj}}{M_G} \right) \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

151 Once the volume of each phase is known, it is possible to calculate the global hydrate fraction in the
 152 system relatively to the oil and water phases, which are the phases that circulate in the loop with the
 153 hydrates. The hydrate fraction is given by the following expression:

$$\alpha_H = \frac{V_H}{V_H + V_W + V_O} \quad (8)$$

154 where V_O is the oil volume, which is constant.

155 4 Results and discussion

156 The results of the carried-out experiments, summarized in Table 5, are discussed in six subsections.
 157 In the first one, it is introduced the methodology for identifying the continuous phase for characterizing
 158 the flow pattern before hydrate formation. The subsequent three subsections present the results of hydrate
 159 formation for tests at low, intermediate and high water cut. The fifth subsection presents a methodology
 160 to quantify the local hydrate fraction and to characterize the heterogeneity of the system. The last
 161 subsection presents a summary of the main outcomes.

162 Table 5. List of experiments.

Experiment	Water cut	Flow rate	Water conversion	Hydrate fraction	Time with hydrates until plug
#1	30%	200 L/h	18.7%	8.0%	13.4 min
#2			11.1%	4.1%	6.1 min

#3		400 L/h	13.2%	4.9%	3.2 min
#4		400 L/h	9.6%	3.6%	3.8 min
#5			3.7%	2.4%	6.6 min
#6		200 L/h	2.2%	1.4%	3.9 min
#7		200 L/h	2.9%	1.8%	4.7 min
#8	50%		4.6%	2.9%	5.8 min
#9			10.6%	6.6%	7.3 min
#10		400 L/h	18.5%	11.7%	13.7 min
#11			14.6%	9.2%	9.3 min
#12		200 L/h	7.8%	8.0%	92.1 min
#13	80%		10.3%	10.6%	120.1 min
#14		400 L/h	11.7%	11.9%	26.9 min
#15		400 L/h	16.3%	16.4%	37.3 min

163

164 4.1 Permittivity measurements to identify the continuous phase


165 Identifying the continuous phase and whether the dispersed system is oil-in-water or water-in-oil
166 before hydrate formation is important because hydrates preferentially form at oil-water interfaces, and
167 thus their shape, kinetics and dynamics are strongly affected by the two-phase flow pattern. In this work,
168 a combination of the following three measurements is used to identify the dispersed phase before hydrate
169 formation: the dielectric, the local water fraction calculated from the density, and the images from the
170 camera.

171 The dielectric of saline water with 30 g NaCl/L is 87.9, while the dielectric of the Kerdane oil is 2.7,
172 both at 4 °C, values obtained from tests in the flow loop apparatus. The local or instantaneous water
173 fraction ($\alpha_{l,w}$) that passes through the flowmeter is calculated from the measured density (ρ), using the
174 equation:

$$\rho = \rho_w \alpha_{l,w} + \rho_o (1 - \alpha_{l,w}) \quad (9)$$

175 where ρ_W and ρ_O are the densities of water and oil.

176 In order to obtain the dielectric as a function of the water fraction, an algorithm is proposed, as
177 illustrated in Figure 3. In every 30-second slot of the whole measurement, the highest, lowest and time-
178 averaged values of dielectric are calculated, as depicted in Figure 3(a). The same procedure is performed
179 for the calculated water fraction, as represented in Figure 3(b). These values of dielectric and water
180 fraction are synchronized in such a way that, for each interval of 30 seconds, the highest value of dielectric
181 is coupled with the highest value of the water fraction, the same for their respective average and lowest
182 values, as shown in Figure 3(c). This method is applied to the entire dataset before hydrate formation.




183

184 Figure 3. Representation of the algorithm to obtain the dielectric as a function of the water fraction.

185 The proposed method allows obtaining a dataset (dielectric vs. water fraction) that helps to identify
186 the dispersed phase. Validation of the results is achieved by comparison with camera images in Figure 4.
187 At 200 L/h the dispersions are not completely homogeneous. At 30% water cut and 200 L/h, the water
188 fraction can vary locally from 15% to over 50% (Figure 4(a)). For a test at 50% water cut and 200 L/h,
189 the local water fraction can range from 20% to 80% (Figure 4(c)). This heterogeneity can be clearly
190 observed in the images from the camera, showing the presence of droplets whose size covers several

191 millimeters. As the flow rate increases, at 400 L/h, the heterogeneity reduces because the higher shear rate
192 breaks the droplets. This can be observed in the images, which show a thinner dispersion than at 200 L/h,
193 confirmed by our measured dielectric and calculated water fractions, which show a shorter range (see
194 Figure 4(b,d,f)).

195 The results also show that there is a direct relation between the local water fraction and the dielectric,
196 with the dielectric increasing as the water fraction increases. At 30% water cut, oil has been identified as
197 the continuous phase. At 200 L/h, this is highlighted in the images from the camera (Figure 4(a)) that
198 water droplets are dispersed in the oil continuous phase (bright droplets dispersed in the dark background),
199 whereas at 400 L/h (Figure 4(b)) the dielectric values are much closer to the oil dielectric than to the water
200 dielectric. At 50% and 80% water cut, water has been identified as the continuous phase as the dielectric
201 values are much closer to the water dielectric than to the oil dielectric (Figure 4(c-f)). Also, images from
202 the camera show that oil droplets, which are lighter and concentrated preferably at the top of the window
203 due to gravity, are dispersed in the water continuous phase.



204

205

206


Figure 4. Analysis of the flow regime comparing dielectric vs. water cut (left panels) to images obtained from the camera (right panels) as a function of water cut and flow rate.

207 4.2 Hydrate formation at low water cut

208 Figure 5 shows the time evolution of dielectric and density (top panel), of dielectric and absolute
209 energy for the sensor 1A (central panel), and of pressure drops and flow rate (bottom panel) for a test
210 conducted at 30% water cut and 200 L/h. Hydrate formation was detected after 17.5 minutes, as evidenced
211 by the sudden decrease in density and dielectric at that time (Figure 5(a)). The decrease in density and
212 dielectric is attributed to the reduction in water fraction as water is consumed for hydrate formation. From
213 the onset of hydrate formation (at 17.5 minutes) to hydrate blockage (at 30.0 minutes, when the experiment
214 ends), the flow had different stages. Initially, during the first three minutes, the particles were dispersed
215 everywhere in the flow, as can be deduced from the absolute energy (Figure 5(b)), which significantly
216 increased and remained at high values for three minutes. High values of absolute energy are indicators of
217 hydrate transit and low values correspond to the same level prior to the formation of hydrates (de Almeida
218 et al., 2022). However, at 20.5 minutes, the flow regime began exhibiting intermittent behavior, with some
219 parts of the flow transporting hydrates (peaks of absolute energy) and others containing only liquid. As
220 the absolute energy peaks were mostly in phase with the peaks of density and dielectric (Figure 5(a)),
221 which in turn correspond to the water-governed flow, it is concluded that the hydrate particles are
222 transported by the water phase. On the other hand, the troughs of absolute energy correspond to the oil-
223 governed flow, as they were mostly in phase with the troughs of dielectric (Figure 5(b)) and density
224 (Figure 6).

225 During the first three minutes after hydrate formation, as the particles were evenly dispersed in the
226 liquid, the horizontal pressure drop increases significantly (blue line in Figure 5(c)). This increase in
227 pressure drop is believed to be due to an increase in apparent viscosity that occurs as more interfaces are
228 created when hydrates form. However, as the flow starts to become more heterogeneous, at 20.5 minutes,
229 the horizontal pressure drop reduced, due to a reduction of the interfacial area between the phases.
230 Meanwhile, the local accumulation of hydrates in parts of the pipeline started to cause significant
231 restrictions. This can be noted, for instance, from the pressure drop in the right vertical pipe of the separator
232 (Δp_4 , cyan line in Figure 5(c)), which reduced when hydrates passed through the separator. This indicates
233 that, when hydrates pass therein, they cause a restriction at the bottom of the separator that temporarily
234 interrupts the flow. This causes the suction of liquid from the right vertical pipe of the separator, explaining
235 why the liquid level in that part of the separator reduced. The hydrate plugging was observed after 30

236 minutes, when the flow rate indicated zero, causing the end of the experiment. It was therefore a sudden
 237 event and was caused by a restriction in the bottom pipeline of the separator.




238
 239 Figure 5. Experiment at 30% water-cut and 200 L/h (#1 in Table 5), showing the time evolution of (a)
 240 dielectric and density, (b) dielectric and absolute energy, and (c) pressure drops and flow rate.

241 The visual observation that peaks of density and absolute energy (shown in Figure 5) are in phase,
 242 which indicates that hydrates are carried by the water phase, can be verified statistically by using a time-
 243 lagged cross-correlation (Cheong, 2020). This gives the time synchrony between two data sets and consists
 244 in incrementally shifting one data set over time and repeatedly calculating the correlation to the other data
 245 set. If the peak correlation is at the center (no time lag), this indicates the signals are mostly in-phase at
 246 that time interval. To obtain the correlation between two signals, the Pearson correlation can be used
 247 (Schober and Schwarte, 2018). This is a correlation coefficient that ranges from -1 to +1, where a
 248 coefficient +1 means that all values from one data set increase as the values from the other one increase
 249 in a perfectly linear relationship, while a coefficient -1 means that all values from one data set decrease as

250 the values from the other one increase, also in a linear relationship. A coefficient of zero means that there
251 is no relationship between the data sets.

252 Figure 6 shows a comparison between density and absolute energy (sensor 1A) at the top panel (the
253 last six minutes of the experiment), and the correlation coefficient between these two data sets for a period
254 of three minutes at the bottom panel. The peak for the correlation coefficient occurs near the center, for a
255 time lag of 4 seconds, which is very low compared to the period it takes for the flow to circulate around
256 the loop. This proves that these signals are in phase.



257
258 Figure 6. Time-lagged cross-correlation analysis between density and absolute energy (sensor 1A) for a
259 test at 30% water cut (#1 in Table 5).

260 Hydrate formation could be detected by the images from the PVM. It is shown in Figure 7 three
261 images: the first one was taken before hydrate formation, the second one was obtained immediately after
262 hydrate formation, and the third one at the end of the test, just before hydrate blockage. It is shown in the
263 first image (Figure 7(a)) a droplet with a perfectly spherical shape, most likely a water droplet dispersed
264 in oil. Once hydrates form, as shown in Figure 7(b-c), they have an irregular shape and the appearance of
265 a porous structure rather than solids with well-defined surfaces.




Figure 7. PVM images for the test at 30% water-cut and 200 L/h (#1 in Table 5).

Three additional tests were performed at 30% water cut, as listed in Table 5, with similar results being observed. The induction time, that is, the time required for the onset of hydrate formation, varied between 14.5 and 24.6 minutes. For all the tests, water was the carrier phase for hydrates. Furthermore, it was observed that plugging at 400 L/h occurs faster than at 200 L/h despite less water conversion. This can be explained by considering that at a higher flow rate, the flow is more homogeneous (see, for instance, Figure 4). Therefore, when hydrates form, the appearance of more interfaces between the phases is expected to increase the apparent viscosity and, as a result, the transportability of the system is reduced.


When summarizing the results from the test at 30% water cut, the most important outcomes are:

- The flow is initially water-in-oil before hydrate formation, as explained in section 4.1 by analyzing the permittivity data and images from the camera.
- During the onset of hydrate formation, hydrates are homogeneously distributed during the first few minutes, as can be deduced from the absolute energy in Figure 5(b), which consistently remains at high values for three minutes after the onset. The horizontal pressure drop (Figure 5(c)) has its highest values during this early stage of hydrate formation, as there are more interfaces between the phases and shear due to flow if the system is homogeneous. Ding et al., 2019 observed similar behavior, with the apparent viscosity being higher immediately after hydrate formation than during the stages of agglomeration, growth, and deposition.
- Water droplets rapidly coalesce, forming large pockets of sponge structures (seen in the PVM images, Figure 7) formed by water and hydrates. This was observed by synchronizing and comparing the peaks of dielectric, density and absolute energy in Figure 5(a-b), after 20 minutes. Images from the camera, shown in the top panel of Figure 8, also captured an intermittence, with the images becoming darker after hydrate formation (central image) and later presenting an intermittence (two images on the right) between parts of the flow whose images are transparent

291 (mostly with oil) and parts that are dark (due to the presence of hydrates, which block the lighting
 292 positioned behind the visualization window). The reason why hydrates stay preferably with the
 293 water phase can be due to the higher affinity between hydrates and water than between hydrates
 294 and oil (Bassani et al., 2019).

- 295 • A complete separation into two phases, oil and water with hydrates, is observed. The presence of
 296 large pockets of this porous structure containing hydrates and water may easily cause plugging,
 297 especially during transit towards points of singularity, where deposition or local accumulation of
 298 hydrates increases over time.

299 Based on test results at 30% water cut, a conceptual model for hydrate formation and plugging at
 300 low water cut in oil-water dispersed systems is proposed, shown in Figure 8. The model consists of
 301 four stages. The first stage is the entrainment of water droplets into the oil phase due to the shear
 302 caused by the flow. The second stage is the formation of hydrates on the surfaces of water droplets.
 303 As hydrates form, they eventually break down inside the water droplets. In the third stage, the water
 304 droplets with hydrates become porous structures that may easily adhere to the wall, cause some
 305 deposition, and they quickly coalesce to form large pockets of water that carry the hydrate particles.
 306 In the final stage, as the pockets of water with hydrates continue to grow, they eventually plug the
 307 pipeline. Plugging is a sudden event, and it occurs when porous-structured pockets containing water
 308 and hydrates are large enough to block the pipe.




309
 310 Figure 8. Depiction of the conceptual model for hydrate blockage at low water cut.

311 4.3 Hydrate formation at intermediate water cut

312 Similar to the previous section, Figure 9 shows the time evolution of dielectric and density (top panel),
313 dielectric and absolute energy (second panel), dielectric and chord counts (third panel), and pressure drops
314 and flow rate (bottom panel). Hydrates formed around 7 minutes, when there was a sudden reduction in
315 dielectric and density (Figure 9(a)) along with a net increase in absolute energy (Figure 9(b)). An
316 intermittent behavior was observed after hydrate formation, with some parts of the flow containing more
317 oil (low density and dielectric) than others. For this test, it was also observed that it was the water phase
318 that transported the hydrates, since regions of high density and dielectric (which contain more water) had
319 the highest values of absolute energy. This is clear by noting in Figure 9(b) that the troughs of dielectric
320 and absolute energy were in phase. Hydrate formation was also detected by the FBRM during the last
321 stage of the experiment, after 12 minutes, when the flow is intermittent. It is possible to see in Figure 9(c)
322 that the chord counts increased and showed similar oscillatory behavior to the dielectric, density and
323 absolute energy, which confirms the presence of more particles and droplets in the part of the flow that
324 contains more water.

325 There was also a temporal oscillation in the horizontal pressure drop, which is periodic and whose
326 amplitude increases with time (Figure 9(d)). The portion of the flow that contains an accumulation of
327 hydrates is expected to have a local apparent viscosity that increases over time and causes the blockage of
328 the pipe. Plugging probably occurred in the downward section, as the pressure drop in this section (Δp_1)
329 decreased whenever the hydrates passed through it and reached zero at the end of the experiment. This
330 occurs because an increase in pressure loss due to viscosity or deposition counterbalances the gravitational
331 differential pressure (positive for downward flow).



332

333 Figure 9. Experiment at 50% water-cut and 400 L/h (#10 in Table 5), showing (a) dielectric and density,
 334 (b) dielectric and absolute energy, (c) dielectric and chord counts, and (d) pressure drops and flow rate.

335

336 For the tests at 50% water cut, hydrate particles have a regular shape, and seem to be solid structures,
 337 as observed in the PVM images. Figure 10(a) shows a PVM image before hydrate formation with oil
 338 droplets of regular spherical shape. Once hydrates form, as shown in Figure 10(b-c), hydrate particles also
 have a regular spherical shape, indicating that these particles are entrapping oil droplets.




Figure 10. PVM images for a test at 50% water-cut and 400 L/h (#10 in Table 5).


A total of seven tests were conducted at 50% water cut, three of which were conducted at 200 L/h and four of which were conducted at 400 L/h. The main outcomes from these tests are:

- An oil-in-water dispersed system is the flow regime before hydrate formation, as shown in section 4.1.
- The initial oil-in-water dispersion is completely disrupted after hydrate formation. This is because the hydrates, that formed on the surfaces of oil droplets, have more affinity to the water phase. Therefore, they are detached from the oil and preferentially carried by the water phase. The conclusion that hydrates are carried by the water phase is based on the correspondence between the density, dielectric, absolute energy and chord counts measurements, whose peaks are in phase, as shown in Figure 9(a-c).
- A separation of phases occurs, with oil droplets coalescing and forming large oil pockets, while hydrates flow suspended in the water phase. This heterogeneous behavior causes an increase in pressure loss in the region of the flow that contains more hydrates. This can be observed in Figure 9(d) due to the increase in horizontal pressure drop (blue line) as hydrates pass through the horizontal section.
- Hydrates apparently entrap part of the oil droplets that are dispersed in the water phase, as seen in the PVM images (Figure 10) where there are particles with a regular shape.
- An intermittent flow forms, characterized by two regions: one containing only oil, and another containing porous hydrate structures that entrap more and more the water phase, and hydrates entrapping oil as well, increasing the pressure loss due to viscosity in these regions. The main cause of plugging was due to a blockage of hydrates in specific parts of the loop, particularly in the downward section, as shown in Figure 9(d) where the pressure drop in the downward section

363 (cyan line) going to zero as hydrates pass through it just before plugging, when the flow rate
 364 indicates zero as well.

365 Based on the results of tests at 50% water cut, a conceptual model is proposed for oil-water dispersed
 366 systems at intermediate water cut. The model in four stages is illustrated in Figure 11. The first stage
 367 consists of the entrainment of oil droplets into the water phase due to the shear caused by the flow. The
 368 second stage is the beginning of hydrate formation around oil droplets. In the third stage, the presence of
 369 hydrates causes the coalescence of oil droplets, forming oil pockets, while hydrates detach from the oil
 370 droplets and flow suspended in the water phase. Hydrates suspended in water will result in the formation
 371 of porous structures that induce a higher local pressure loss. In the fourth stage, the system consists of an
 372 intermittent flow, formed by a region containing oil and another region containing mainly water and
 373 hydrates. Plugging eventually occurs when the local increase in pressure loss due to viscosity in the region
 374 of the flow containing the hydrates is high enough to stop the flow. Images from the camera, shown in the
 375 top panel of Figure 11, confirm the different stages until plugging. In the first one (left image), before
 376 hydrate formation, droplets have a regular shape. The second one is darker, after the onset of hydrate
 377 formation, as hydrates form around droplets. The last two, one on the right, show an intermittence, where
 378 one is dark because it is from a part of the flow that has hydrates, and the other one is transparent, from a
 379 part of the flow with only liquid, during oil-dominated flow.

380



381


382

Figure 11. Depiction of the conceptual model for hydrate blockage at intermediate water cut.

383 4.4 Hydrate formation at high water cut

384 Figure 12 shows the time evolution of the dielectric together with density (panel (a)), dielectric with
385 absolute energy (panel (b)), dielectric and chord counts (panel (c)), along with the time evolution of the
386 horizontal pressure drop and flow rate (panel (d)) for a test at 80% water cut and 200 L/h. Hydrates formed
387 after a few minutes, observed due to the gradual increase of absolute energy during the first ten minutes
388 of experiments. After ten minutes, an important amount of hydrates is formed, enough to rapidly increase
389 the chord counts (Figure 12(c)) detected in the FBRM range, which is 1-1000 μm . An oscillatory behavior
390 is detected, for all the measurements, after 10 minutes, indicating that the flow is heterogeneous and, once
391 again, parts of the flowing volume contain more hydrates than others: the transit of hydrates is therefore
392 intermittent. Figure 12(a) shows that the density oscillates in a way that the troughs are around 950 kg/m^3 ,
393 which can be a mixture of all the phases, while the crests are at more than 1000 kg/m^3 , which means that
394 there is mostly water on this part of the flow. Density and dielectric are in phase, as the time lag for a
395 maximal Kendall correlation between the two data sets is 4 seconds. As a reminder, the tests are carried
396 out with saline water, which has a density of more than 1000 kg/m^3 , while hydrates have a density of
397 around 910 kg/m^3 and oil has a density of around 815 kg/m^3 . Therefore, with hydrates, the flow will be
398 heterogeneous in the sense that there is a region that consists of water as a single phase and another region
399 containing hydrates with oil flowing dispersed in the water continuous phase. Indeed, in Figure 12(b) one
400 can notice that absolute energy and dielectric are in exact antiphase, i.e., the higher values of absolute
401 energy (regions containing more hydrates) correspond to the troughs of dielectric (regions containing more
402 oil).

403 The results shown in Figure 12(b) indicate that most of the hydrates entrap the oil phase, as evidence
404 by the absolute energy being nearly 80 times higher when there is oil than when there is only water as a
405 single phase. Although most of the hydrates flow entrapping oil droplets, it is expected that some hydrates
406 may break apart from the oil droplets and flow suspended in the water continuous phase (de Almeida et
407 al., 2022).



408

409 Figure 12. Experiment at 80% water-cut and 200 L/h (#12 in Table 5), showing the time evolution of (a)

410 dielectric and density, (b) dielectric and absolute energy, (c) dielectric and chord counts, and (d)

411 horizontal pressure drop and flow rate.

412 Using the method proposed in subsection 4.1 to calculate the time-lagged cross-correlation between


413 density and absolute energy, for this test at 80% water cut, it is shown in Figure 13 that the time lag

414 between the two data sets is about 1.3 minutes, which is approximately half of the period of oscillation of

415 the curves. One can also notice that the lowest correlation occurs near the center, for a time lag of zero.

416 This proves that the parts of the flow with more hydrates (peaks of absolute energy) are the same parts of

417 the flow with more oil (valleys of density).




418

419 Figure 13. Time-lagged cross-correlation analysis between density and absolute energy for the test at
 420 80% water cut (#12 in Table 5).

421 To gain better understanding of the formation of this oscillatory behavior, Figure 14 shows an enlarged
 422 portion of Figure 12(b,c) at two different moments: after a few minutes of hydrate formation (left panels),
 423 and close to the end of the experiment (right panels). By analyzing the absolute energy along with the
 424 chord counts, one notices a different pattern between the two cases. On the left, hydrates are mostly spread
 425 throughout the flow, with a front carrying more particles. In contrast, on the right panel, hydrates are
 426 mostly collected at the front, with dispersed hydrates that flow with the continuous phase, while part of
 427 the flow will contain mainly water without hydrates or dispersed oil droplets. This is likely due to the
 428 difference in velocity between suspended particles of different sizes. Smaller particles accumulate over
 429 time behind large hydrate particles because they are faster than the larger ones.


430 Figure 15 shows some images which visually confirm the intermittent behavior captured by the
 431 signals. The images on the left were taken during the passage of large agglomerates (the “front” part of
 432 the flow containing hydrates entrapping oil droplets), while images on the right are taken during the
 433 passage of parts of the flow containing mostly water as a single phase. The images observed on the right
 434 contain less and less hydrates over time, which corresponds to the reduction of absolute energy in that
 435 region of the flow (see Figure 14(b), between 86.5 and 87.5 minutes).



436

437 Figure 14. Comparison between dielectric, absolute energy and chord counts in two 3-minute intervals
 438 for the experiment at 80% water cut and 200 L/h (#12 in Table 5).

439



440


441 Figure 15. Images from the HSC for the experiment at 80% water cut and 200 L/h (#12 in Table 5)
 442 showing that parts of the flow contain hydrates all the time (images on the left), while others have a
 443 reduction in the number of particles over time (images on the right).

444

445 We conclude the section proposing a conceptual model for hydrate formation and plugging at high
 water cut in oil-water dispersed systems, based on our experiments at 80% water cut. The model,

446 represented in Figure 16, contains four stages. The first stage is the formation of an oil-in-water dispersion
 447 due to the shear caused by the flow. The second step includes the onset of hydrate formation around oil
 448 droplets. Hydrates will trap the oil droplets, while part of the hydrates detaches from the oil surfaces and
 449 flow suspended in the water continuous phase. In the third stage, due to the difference in velocity between
 450 particles of different sizes, the flow gradually becomes heterogeneous. Small particles seem to accumulate
 451 more and more behind large particles, since they can flow faster than the large ones. In the fourth stage,
 452 as one region of the flow contains an important local hydrates fraction and has a high enough pressure
 453 loss due to viscosity, the plug may eventually occur. For this case, hydrate blockage is a gradual event,
 454 and it takes longer than for tests at intermediate or low water cut to occur.

455 In Figure 16, a few images from the camera are shown to illustrate how they compare to the different
 456 stages. The first image, on the left, shows the flow before hydrate formation. The second image was taken
 457 at the early stages of hydrate formation, when the droplets become darker, as hydrates form around them.
 458 The two images on the right are from the two different structures during the last stage, when one part of
 459 the flow contains hydrates entrapping oil suspended in water and the other part contains mostly water.



460

461

Figure 16. Depiction of the conceptual model for hydrate blockage at high water cut.

462

4.5 Estimating the local hydrate fraction

463 It was concluded in previous sections that hydrates are not evenly distributed in the flow. In fact, some
 464 parts of the flow contain more hydrates than others, and this uneven distribution is an important cause of
 465 plugging. Knowledge of the local hydrate fraction is essential to identify why the plugging occurs, which
 466 is necessary for the development of strategies aimed at limiting the risk of plugging. For this, in this
 467 subsection, it is proposed a simplified model based on the density measurement obtained from the Coriolis
 468 flowmeter to estimate the local fraction of each phase ($\alpha_{l,O}$ for oil, $\alpha_{l,W}$ for water, and $\alpha_{l,H}$ for hydrate)
 469 flowing in the loop.

470 The density measured by the Coriolis is influenced by the densities of the three phases that flow in the
 471 pipeline (water, hydrates and oil). This can be mathematically expressed as:

$$\rho = \rho_H \alpha_{l,H} + \rho_W \alpha_{l,W} + \rho_O \alpha_{l,O} \quad (10)$$

472 It was explained in previous sections that hydrates are transported preferentially by the water phase at
 473 low and intermediate water cut, or preferentially by the oil phase at high water cut. In this sense, it is
 474 assumed that the proportion of local fraction of hydrates relative to the carrier phase is uniform in space
 475 and equal to the global proportion of those phases in volume. In other words, locally in the flow, the
 476 quantity of hydrates relative to the phase that transports the hydrates is everywhere the same.

477 Therefore, if hydrates are transported by the water phase, it is assumed that, at any given instant, the
 478 local fraction of hydrates is proportional to the local water fraction via the global hydrate-to-water volume
 479 fraction:

$$\frac{\alpha_{l,H}}{\alpha_{l,W}} = \frac{V_H}{V_W} \quad (11)$$

480 However, if hydrates are preferentially transported by the oil phase, it is assumed that, at any given
 481 time, the local hydrate fraction is proportional to the local oil fraction via the global hydrate-to-oil volume
 482 fraction:

$$\frac{\alpha_{l,H}}{\alpha_{l,O}} = \frac{V_H}{V_O} \quad (12)$$

483 It should be noted that the volumes of the three phases (V_W, V_O, V_H) are time-dependent and must be
 484 calculated in advance using the model proposed in section 3. Finally, the sum of all phases results in the
 485 simple relation:

$$\alpha_{l,H} + \alpha_{l,W} + \alpha_{l,O} = 1 \quad (13)$$

486 The model represented by equations (10)-(13) can be used to calculate the local fractions that pass
 487 through the Coriolis flowmeter. At low water cut, as illustrated in Figure 8 and Figure 11, where hydrates


488 are transported by the water phase, equations (10), (11) and (13) are used. By combining these equations,
 489 the following expression can deduced for the local hydrate fraction:

$$\alpha_{l,H} = \frac{\rho - \rho_o}{\rho_H - \rho_o + (\rho_w - \rho_o) \frac{V_w}{V_H}} \quad (14)$$

490 At high water cut, as illustrated in Figure 16, where hydrates flow preferably with the oil phase, it is
 491 used equations (11), (12) and (13), from which the following expression is deduced:


$$\alpha_{l,H} = \frac{\rho - \rho_w}{\rho_H - \rho_w + (\rho_o - \rho_w) \frac{V_o}{V_H}} \quad (15)$$

492 Figure 17 shows the application of equation (14) for a test at 30% water cut and 200 L/h (#1 in Table
 493 5). The hydrate fraction and local hydrate fraction are shown in Figure 17(a), while the absolute energy
 494 from sensor 1A is shown in Figure 17(b). The peaks observed in the local hydrate fraction are in phase
 495 with the peaks observed in the absolute energy, which indicates that the model is accurately capturing the
 496 regions containing more hydrates and regions with more liquid. Despite the final global hydrate fraction
 497 in the whole system (in orange) being nearly 7%, the local hydrate fraction can vary between 0 and
 498 approximately 18%. It is also important to consider that, due to the porosity, water is trapped inside the
 499 hydrate particles and, therefore, the volume fractions of the structures containing hydrates and water (see
 500 Figure 8) are expected to be much larger than the calculated local hydrate fractions.



501
 502 Figure 17. Hydrate fractions and absolute energy for a test at 30% water-cut and 200 L/h (#1 in Table 5).

503 Figure 18 shows hydrate fraction, local hydrate fraction and absolute energy for a test at 50% water
 504 cut and 400 L/h (#9 in Table 5). The global hydrate fraction in the system just before plugging (orange
 505 line, top panel) is approximately 6.6%, but due to the heterogeneous suspension of hydrates and their
 506 accumulation in some parts of the liquid, the local hydrate fraction (blue line, top panel) that passes
 507 through the Coriolis varies between 0 and 11.3%. Once more, the peaks of the local hydrate fraction are
 508 in phase with the peaks of absolute energy (bottom panel).
 509




510
 511 Figure 18. Local hydrate fraction and absolute energy for a test at 50% water-cut and 400 L/h (#9 in
 512 Table 5).

513 The application of equation (15) for a test at 80% water cut and 200 L/h (#12 in Table 5) is shown in
 514 Figure 19. The hydrate fraction (orange line, top panel) is approximately 8.5% just before plugging, but
 515 due to the heterogeneity of the flow, the local hydrate fraction may be up to 13.3%. One can notice that
 516 the peaks observed for local hydrate fraction are in phase with the absolute energy (bottom panel).

517 The proposed model can be used to predict plugging by monitoring local peaks of the hydrate fraction.
 518 However, it is important to discuss the limitations of the model that led to the development of equations
 519 (14) and (15). It can only be used when all the hydrates are being transported by one phase only, water or
 520 oil. In this sense, further experiments combined with the implementation of other techniques to obtain the
 521 local hydrate fraction could validate the proposed model. Despite its limitations, equations (14) and (15)

522 can be used for a rapid estimation of the local hydrate in the system and help to better understand the
523 degree of heterogeneity of the flow.




524
525 Figure 19. Apparent hydrate fraction and absolute energy for a test at 80% water-cut and 200 L/h (#12 in
526 Table 5).

527 To conclude the analysis, a methodology to classify the tests according to their heterogeneity is
528 proposed here. It consists of calculating the volume fraction of the flow (relative to the global volume of
529 liquid and hydrates inside the flow loop) that contains 50% of all the hydrates (the upper half of data)
530 formed globally in the system. This means that an integration of the local hydrate fraction (equations (14)
531 or (15)) over time is performed during the last lap around the loop, just before plugging, in a decrescent
532 order from the highest to the lowest hydrate fraction, while keeping track of the volume as a function of
533 the flow rate measured by the Coriolis. For instance, a system that is highly heterogeneous will have 50%
534 of the hydrates accumulated in a very small fraction of the flow.

535 This method is applied to all the tests listed in Table 5. The results are shown in Figure 20, which
536 shows the time for plugging since the onset of hydrate formation as a function of the volume (in fraction)
537 occupied by the 50% of the hydrates (the upper half of data) flowing in the pipeline.

538 The results indicate that tests at high water cut (in red) are less prone to plug and are more
539 homogeneous, with 50% of the hydrates concentrated in a volume fraction between 26% and 34% of the
540 flow volume. As the water cut reduces, the slurry flow regime becomes increasingly heterogeneous and

541 plugs faster than at high water cut. For the tests at intermediate water cut (in blue), hydrates are
 542 concentrated in a volume fraction between 17% and 28% of the volume, while for tests at low water cut,
 543 they are concentrated in a volume fraction between 10% and 23%.



544

545

Figure 20. Time for plugging as a function of the heterogeneity of the system.

546

4.6 Summary of results

547

As previously discussed, from the literature, the conceptual models of hydrate formation and plugging
 548 in oil-water dispersed systems assume that the formation of large particles that cause plugging occurs
 549 mainly due to agglomeration. Agglomeration models have been extensively studied. In this work, it was
 550 observed, however, that there are other mechanisms that deserve better attention and could be very
 551 important for a better understanding of how plugging occurs.

552

Separation of phases has been observed in the tests, whose main outcomes are summarized in Table
 553 6. The separation of phases changes the flow regime in a way that presents distinct structures along space,
 554 comparable to an intermittent flow. Parts of the flowing volume have higher concentrations of hydrates
 555 than others. This can be because structures of different sizes or densities will flow at different velocities,
 556 which facilitates the formation of the intermittent flow. It was also observed, especially at low and
 557 intermediate water cut, that the dispersed phase rapidly coalesces (large water pockets at low water cut,

558 or large oil pockets at intermediate water cut). This mechanism is not well understood and requires
 559 additional studies. The analysis proposed in section 4.5 showed that this separation of phases is more
 560 significant at low and intermediate water cut, and it can lead to plugging in a few minutes.

561 Table 6. Summary of conceptual mechanisms for hydrate blockage from low to high water cuts.

Water cut	Model
Low	A water-in-oil dispersion is the initial flow regime. When hydrates form, they break into water droplets. Water droplets coalesce, forming large pockets of water with suspended hydrates. Deposition occurs, and hydrates become highly concentrated in a portion of the flowing volume, which eventually causes plugging.
Intermediate	An oil-in-water dispersion is the flow regime in the beginning. When hydrates form, they preferably break into the water phase, while oil droplets coalesce. This leads to deposition and concentration of hydrates in parts of the flow, eventually causing plugging.
High	An oil-in-water dispersion is the initial flow regime. Hydrates initially form around oil droplets. As they continue forming and entrapping the oil droplets, part of them breaks into the water continuous phase. Suspended particles seem to flow faster than hydrates with entrapped oil, resulting in a gradual transition to an intermittent flow.

562

563 **5 Conclusions**

564 In this work, flow loop tests were carried out with hydrate formation in oil-water flow at 30%, 50%
 565 and 80% water cut. New mechanisms on how the slurry flow after hydrate formation is and how hydrate
 566 blockage occurs were proposed. The main conclusion from the experiments is that there is a formation of
 567 a heterogeneous flow that results from a separation of phases. The resulting flow patterns are characterized
 568 by parts of the flow containing more hydrates than others. Deposition was also an important mechanism
 569 that contributed to plugging formation.

570 At low water cut, hydrate formation completely modifies from the initial water-in-oil dispersion to a
 571 flow pattern composed of large water pockets with hydrates that rapidly plug the system. At intermediate

572 water cut, a rapid separation of phases is observed, disrupting the oil-in-water dispersion and forming a
573 flow with a pattern composed of two main structures: one being a large pocket of oil, and another region
574 containing hydrates suspended in the water phase. At high water-cut, hydrates apparently entrap the oil
575 phase, as it was shown that hydrates flow mostly with the oil phase, although part of the hydrates may
576 break loose and become suspended in the water continuous phase.

577 A simplified model based on density measurement was proposed to estimate the local hydrate fraction
578 and quantify the heterogeneity of the system. It was shown that tests at high water cut are less
579 heterogeneous, which explains why they flow much longer than at intermediate or low water cut. The
580 extension of the tests to other conditions, the improvement and validation of the model could be conducted
581 in a further work for a better understanding of the mechanism of plugging due to the formation of these
582 heterogeneous flows and the quantification of the transportability of the system.

583

584 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

585 The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from TotalEnergies in the framework of
586 the Archimede 5 project. We would like to thank the technical support provided by the staff of Mines
587 Saint-Etienne. We also thank Mr. P. Glénat, who recently retired from TotalEnergies, for sharing his
588 valuable experience and knowledge, which greatly contributed to the development of this work.

589

590 **REFERENCES**

- 591 Bassani, C.L., Barbuto, F.A.A., Sum, A.K., Morales, R.E.M., 2017. Modeling the effects of hydrate wall
592 deposition on slug flow hydrodynamics and heat transfer. *Appl Therm Eng* 114, 245–254.
593 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.11.175>
- 594 Bassani, C.L., Melchuna, A.M., Cameirão, A., Herri, J.M., Morales, R.E.M., Sum, A.K., 2019. A
595 multiscale approach for gas hydrates considering structure, agglomeration, and transportability under
596 multiphase flow conditions: I. phenomenological model. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 58, 14446–14461.
597 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.9b01841>
- 598 Cardoso, C.A.B.R., Gonçalves, M.A.L., Camargo, R.M.T., 2015. Design options for avoiding hydrates in
599 deep offshore production. *J Chem Eng Data* 60, 330–335. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je500601f>

600 Chen, J., Liu, J., Chen, G.J., Sun, C.Y., Jia, M.L., Liu, B., Si, S., Ren, N., 2014. Insights into methane
601 hydrate formation, agglomeration, and dissociation in water + diesel oil dispersed system. *Energy*
602 *Convers Manag* 86, 886–891. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.06.056>

603 Chen, L., Koh, C.A., Sun, B., 2022. Insight into the plugging mechanism in water-continuous hydrate
604 slurries. *Fuel* 316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123360>

605 Cheong, J.H., 2020. Four ways to quantify synchrony between time series data.
606 <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/BA3NY>

607 Colombel, E., Gateau, P., Barré, L., Gruy, F., Palermo, T., 2009. Discussion of Agglomeration
608 Mechanisms between Hydrate Particles in Water in Oil Emulsions. *Oil and Gas Science and*
609 *Technology* 64, 629–636. <https://doi.org/10.2516/ogst/2009042>

610 de Almeida, V., Serris, E., Cameirão, A., Herri, J.-M., Abadie, E., Glénat, P., 2022. Monitoring gas
611 hydrates under multiphase flow in a high pressure flow loop by means of an acoustic emission
612 technology. *J Nat Gas Sci Eng* 97, 104338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2021.104338>

613 Delahaye, A., Fournaison, L., Marinhas, S., Martínez, M.C., 2008. Rheological study of CO₂ hydrate
614 slurry in a dynamic loop applied to secondary refrigeration. *Chem Eng Sci* 63, 3551–3559.
615 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2008.04.001>

616 Ding, L., Shi, B., Liu, Y., Song, S., Wang, W., Wu, H., Gong, J., 2019. Rheology of natural gas hydrate
617 slurry: Effect of hydrate agglomeration and deposition. *Fuel* 239, 126–137.
618 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.10.110>

619 Ding, L., Shi, B., Lv, X., Liu, Y., Wu, H., Wang, W., Gong, J., 2016. Investigation of natural gas hydrate
620 slurry flow properties and flow patterns using a high pressure flow loop. *Chem Eng Sci* 146, 199–
621 206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.02.040>

622 Englezos, P., 1993. REVIEWS Clathrate Hydrates, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*

623 Fidel-Dufour, A., Gruy, F., Herri, J.M., 2006. Rheology of methane hydrate slurries during their
624 crystallization in a water in dodecane emulsion under flowing. *Chem Eng Sci* 61, 505–515.
625 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.07.001>

626 Jamaluddin, A.K.M., Kalogerakis, N., Bishnoi, P.R., 1991. Hydrate plugging problems in undersea natural
627 gas pipelines under shutdown conditions.

628 Khurana, M., Yin, Z., Linga, P., 2017. A review of clathrate hydrate nucleation. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng.*
629 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b03238>

630 Liu, Z., Vasheghani Farahani, M., Yang, M., Li, X., Zhao, J., Song, Y., Yang, J., 2020. Hydrate slurry
631 flow characteristics influenced by formation, agglomeration and deposition in a fully visual flow
632 loop. *Fuel* 277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118066>

633 Melchuna, A., Cameirão, A., Herri, J.M., Glénat, P., 2016. Topological modeling of methane hydrate
634 crystallization from low to high water cut emulsion systems. *Fluid Phase Equilib* 413, 158–169.
635 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2015.11.023>

636 Olajire, A.A., 2020. Flow assurance issues in deep-water gas well testing and mitigation strategies with
637 respect to gas hydrates deposition in flowlines - A review. *J Mol Liq.*
638 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.114203>

639 Pham, T.K., Cameirão, A., Melchuna, A., Herri, J.M., Glénat, P., 2020. Relative pressure drop model for
640 hydrate formation and transportability in flowlines in high water cut systems. *Energies (Basel)* 13.
641 <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13030686>

642 Schober, P., Schwarte, L.A., 2018. Correlation coefficients: Appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesth*
643 *Analg* 126, 1763–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864>

644 Shaibu, R., Sambo, C., Guo, B., Dudun, A., 2021. An assessment of methane gas production from natural
645 gas hydrates: Challenges, technology and market outlook. *Advances in Geo-Energy Research.*
646 <https://doi.org/10.46690/ager.2021.03.07>

647 Siquin, A., Palermo, T., Peysson, Y., 2004. Rheological and Flow Properties of Gas Hydrate
648 Suspensions, *Oil & Gas Science and Technology-Rev. IFP.* <https://doi.org/10.2516/ogst:2004005>

649 Sloan, D., Koh, C., Sum, A.K., 2011. *Natural Gas Hydrates in Flow Assurance.* Gulf Professional Printing.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-62311-4>

651 Sloan, E.D., 2003. Fundamental principles and applications of natural gas hydrates. *Nature* 426, 353–359.

652 Sloan, E.D., Koh, C.A., 2008. *Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases, Third Edition.* ed. CRC Press.

653 Song, G.C., Li, Y.X., Wang, W.C., Liu, S., Wang, X.Y., Shi, Z.Z., Yao, S., 2019. Experimental
654 investigation on the microprocess of hydrate particle agglomeration using a high-speed camera. *Fuel*
655 237, 475–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.09.155>

656 Stoner, H.M., Koh, C.A., 2021. Perspective on the role of particle size measurements in gas hydrate
657 agglomeration predictions. *Fuel.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121385>

658 Straume, E.O., Kakitani, C., Merino-Garcia, D., Morales, R.E.M., Sum, A.K., 2016. Experimental study
659 of the formation and deposition of gas hydrates in non-emulsifying oil and condensate systems. *Chem*
660 *Eng Sci* 155, 111–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.07.046>

661 Sum, A.K., Koh, C.A., Sloan, E.D., 2009. Clathrate hydrates: From laboratory science to engineering
662 practice. *Ind Eng Chem Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie900679m>
663 Turner, D.J., 2005. *Clathrate Hydrate Formation in Water-in-oil Dispersions*. Golden.
664 Wang, Z., Tong, S., Wang, C., Zhang, J., Fu, W., Sun, B., 2020. Hydrate deposition prediction model for
665 deep-water gas wells under shut-in conditions. *Fuel* 275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117944>
666